# Exhibit 10

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	Page 1
2	FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI	
3	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
4	*	
5	JOSHUA GLASSCOCK * No. * 22-cv-3095-SRB	
6	vs. *	
7	*	
8	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
9		
10	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF THOMAS TAYLOR,	
11	Deposition taken at 299 Vaughn Street, Portsmouth,	
12	New Hampshire, on Thursday, September 12, 2024,	
13	commencing at 9:02 a.m.	
14		
15		
16		
17	Court Reporter: Pamela J. Carle, LCR, RPR, CRR	
18	Pameia U. Carre, LCR, RPR, CRR	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	APPEARANCES	Page 2
2	How the Disimplifie	
3	For the Plaintiff:	
4	WILLIAMS DIRKS DAMERON 1100 Main Street, Suite 2600 Kansas City, Missouri 64105	
5	By: Michael Williams, Esq. 816.945.7110	
6	mwilliams@williamsdirks.com	
7	For the Defendant:	
8	DLA PIPER	
9	1251 Avenue of the Americas	
10	New York, New York 10020-1104 By: Colleen Gulliver, Esq. 212.335.4673	
11	colleen.gulliver@dlapiper.com	
12	and LITTLETON PARK JOYCE UGHETTA & KELLY LLP	
13	2460 N Courtenay Pkwy, Suite 204 Merritt Island , Florida 32953	
14	By: Kristen E. Dennison, Esq. (Via Zoom) 321.574.0280	
15	kristen.dennison@littletonjoyce.com	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

г			Thomas rayior	September	
1	WITNESS	. ·	I N D E X THOMAS TAYLOR		Page 3
2	EXAMINA			PAGE	
3	By Mr.	Will	iams	6	
4	By Ms.	GUILI	iver	112	
5					
6					
7					
8			R IDENTIFICATION:		
9	TAYLOR		DESCRIPTION	PAGE	
10	Exhibit	1	Deposition notice	7	
11	Exhibit	2	Sig Glasscock 0000248, 6/8/18 e-mail	31	
12	Exhibit	3	Document, Sig Glasscock 00000199 to 200	33	
13	Exhibit	4	Document from Samantha Piatt dated July 27, 2021 at 4:51 p.m.	34	
15	Exhibit	5	Chart, Sig Glasscock 0001772	42	
16	Exhibit	6	Chart	42	
17 18	Exhibit	7	Documents Bates numbered 157 and 158	51	
19	Exhibit	8	Document Sig 146	55	
20	Exhibit	9	Sig Glasscock 141 and 142,	57	
21		1.0	e-mail from Kyle Reyes	<b>-</b> 1	
22	Exhibit	10	Sig Glasscock 128, 129 and attachment	61	
23	Exhibit	11	Sig Glasscock 000104	67	
24	mask ile i	1 0	gi ~ 000224 26	<b>17</b> 1	
25	EXIIIDIT	12	Sig 000224-26	71	

Case 893-276 Tv-03 (19 state) in all 50 states and is licensed whether equired he had registration #1 16F.126 LEXITAS www.lexitaslegal.com

			Thomas Taylor	September 12, 2024
1	Exhibit	13	Document dated 3/24/23, Nos. 255 and 256	Page 4 75
2	Exhibit	14	Documents numbered 257 through 259	77
4	Exhibit	15	Sig 250 through 254	82
5	Exhibit	16	4/13/23 document, Taylor to Cohen	83
6 7	Exhibit	17	Sig Glasscock 218 and 219	86
8	Exhibit	18	Sig Glasscock 0000004	89
9	Exhibit	19	Sig Glasscock 12, 13, 14 and attachment	91
10	Exhibit	20	E-mail from QA Outdoors	93
11	Exhibit	21	P320 design 40	95
12	Exhibit	22	Sig Glasscock 201 through 207	97
13		(Ex	nibits sent to Huseby, Inc.)	
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

Page 5

```
1
                                     Good morning.
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
                                                    We're
 2
     going on the record at 9:02 a.m. for the following
 3
     deposition of Thomas Taylor, 30(b)(6) corporate
 4
     representative for Sig Sauer, Inc.
 5
                 This is being taken on September 12,
 6
     2024, at 299 Vaughn Street, Portsmouth,
 7
     New Hampshire, 03801, in the matter of Joshua
 8
     Glasscock, on behalf of himself and others
 9
     similarly situated, versus Sig Sauer, Inc., filed
10
     in the United States District Court for the Western
11
     District of Missouri, Case No. 22-cv-3095-SRB.
12
                 My name is Gill Whitney, I'm the
13
     videographer. The court reporter is Pam Carle.
                                                       Wе
14
     are here on behalf of Lexitas Legal.
                                           Would you
15
     counsel please announce their presence for the
16
     record.
17
                                 Mike Williams for the
                 MR. WILLIAMS:
18
     plaintiff.
19
                 MS. GULLIVER: Colleen Gulliver for
20
     Sig Sauer and the witness.
21
                 MS. DENNISON: And Kristen Dennison
2.2
     here on behalf of Sig Sauer as well.
23
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
                                     Thank you.
                                                 The
24
     reporter can swear in the witness.
25
     //
```

Page 6 1 THOMAS TAYLOR, 2 having been duly sworn, 3 was deposed and testified 4 as follows: 5 EXAMINATION 6 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 7 Good morning, sir. Can you please Ο. 8 state your full name for the record. 9 Thomas Taylor. Α. 10 0. Good morning, Mr. Taylor. 11 Good morning. Α. 12 My name is Michael Williams, and I'm a Q. 13 partner at the firm Williams Dirks Dameron in 14 Kansas City, Missouri, and I am here to take your 15 deposition as a corporate representative. I am 16 going to hand you what has been marked as 17 Deposition Exhibit 1. 18 Glasses. Sorry about that. We won't 19 get very far without those. 20 Well, I am new to glass wearing, so I 0. 21 am very cognizant, like, Ah, where are my glasses? 2.2 MS. GULLIVER: Do you have a copy for 23 me? 24 MR. WILLIAMS: Oh. That's just the 25 notice. We've been using the same one.

let's jump back for one second. You told us your

24

		Thomas raylor	September	·
1	Α.	I am.		Page 8
2	Q.	And where are you employed?		
3	Α.	Sig Sauer.		
4	Q.	And what is your title at Sig Sau	er?	
5	Α.	Executive vice president, global	brand	
6	development	•		
7	Q.	And how long have you been the		
8	executive v	ice president of global brand		
9	development	?		
10	Α.	Since the second week of June of	2024.	
11	Q.	How long have you been employed b	y Sig?	
12	Α.	Not quite ten years, so nine year	s. It	
13	will be ten	years in March of '24.		
14	Q.	It will be ten years in March of	'25?	
15	Α.	'25. Sorry, March of '25.		
16	Q.	So prior to becoming executive vi	ce	
17	president,	global brand management, what was	your	
18	title?			
19	Α.	Brand development.		
20	Q.	I'm sorry, brand development.		
21	Α.	Yes, sir.		
22	Q.	What was your title?		
23	Α.	I was chief marketing officer and		
24	executive v	ice president commercial sales.		
25	Q.	I'm sorry, chief vice president a	.nd	

1	A. Chief marketing officer and executive
2	vice president commercial sales.
3	Q. And how long were you in that position?
4	A. Since March of from March of 2015
5	until June of 2024. So a little over nine years.
6	Q. And what did you do in that position?
7	A. I oversaw the marketing initiatives of
8	the company, as well as the domestic or US
9	commercial sales at the company.
10	Q. And I assume that becoming the
11	executive vice president of global brand
12	development was a promotion?
13	MS. GULLIVER: Objection, form.
14	A. Just a different role.
15	BY MR. WILLIAMS:
16	Q. So was it a lateral move?
17	MS. GULLIVER: Objection to form.
18	A. It's it was just a different role.
19	I talked to the company about doing a different
20	role within the company and they were able to
21	accommodate that role.
22	BY MR. WILLIAMS:
23	Q. Okay. So what do you do what are
24	your main job duties as the vice president of
25	global brand development?

1	A.	So I still support our chief marketing
2	officer in	any way he needs. I also am involved in
3	internation	nal marketing, special projects, industry
4	governance	, various things like that in support of
5	the company	7•
6	Q.	Have you ever had your deposition taken
7	before?	
8	A.	I have.
9	Q.	And have you had your deposition taken
10	as a corpo	rate representative before?
11	A.	I have.
12	Q.	Approximately how many times have you
13	had your de	eposition taken as a corporate
14	representa	tive?
15	A.	Three prior to this one, I believe.
16	Q.	Okay. So you're a veteran at this, but
17	I'm going	to go over some basic rules just to make
18	sure that w	we're all on the same page, is that okay?
19	A.	Of course.
20	Q.	You already understand this, you're
21	doing a gre	eat job of answering the questions
22	verbally.	
23	A.	Yes.
24	Q.	And what I mean is the court reporter's
25	obligation	is to take down everything every

question that I ask, every answer that you give, and any objections that counsel may make. Because of that, everything must be verbal, i.e., you can't say uh-hum or um-um or shake your head, and we also must let each other finish what we're saying. So you've got to let me finish my question before you start your answer, I have to let you finish your answer before I start the next question, and we have to both be mindful that if counsel makes an objection, we have to stop to allow them to make their record, okay?

### A. Understood.

2.2

Q. The other thing that we have to be mindful of is that the court reporter is making a record, and because of that, we have to make sure that we're speaking while not slowly, we have to monitor whether we're going too fast, because they can only type 250, 300 words a minute, and sometimes we'll get ahead of ourselves.

If we do that, the court reporter is not being rude, she might hold up her hand or wave or something just say slow down, I'm having trouble keeping up. All right?

# A. Understood.

Q. Also, sometimes questions are going

- Page 12 1 through my head and when they come out of my mouth 2 they may not make sense, they may be jumbled, they 3 may just be stupid. 4 If you ever get a question that just 5 doesn't make sense, you don't understand or 6 anything, will you promise to tell me? 7 I will. Α. 8 0. All right. Because I -- while we're 9 here for certain specific topics, I don't want you 10 answering questions you don't understand. 11 Α. Of course. 12 Because that just belabors the point, Q. 13 and we have to stay here longer. 14 Α. Understood. 15 0. I don't think I have anything else with regard to the background stuff. 16 17 Α. Okay. 18 If you will flip to question 17. Ο. 19 if you could read that into the record, please. 20 The marketing of the P320 to Missouri, Α. 21 including Sig Sauer's analysis of same. 2.2 Ο. Are you prepared to answer questions on 23 that topic?
- 24 Α. I am.

What did you do to prepare to answer Q.

questions on that topic?

1

2

3

4

5

15

- A. I met with counsel, I conferred with internal people within the company, and just generally my knowledge of the situation over the past several years.
- Q. Can you please identify each person you met with internally to prepare to answer question 17?
- A. Specifically to 17, Jack Morris, who
  was our manager of e-mail marketing, and counsel, I
  think I met specific to marketing. I believe
  that's the only person that -- that I've asked a
  couple of questions to understand some things with
  regard to question 17.
  - Q. And I believe you said Jack Morris is in charge of e-mail marketing?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Is he a direct report to you?
- A. No longer. He -- he previously was but does not report to me any longer.
- Q. Okay. So prior to the second week of June of 2024, Mr. Morris reported to you?
- A. He reported through another gentleman.

  He has at times reported directly to me over the

  years but more recently had a manager between me

1	and him.		Page 14
2	Q.	And who is that manager?	
3	A.	Chad Laurent.	
4	Q.	For the record, can you spell	
5	Mr. Laurent	's last name, if you know?	
6	A.	Yes, L-A-U-R-E-N-T.	
7	Q.	And what is Mr. Laurent's title?	
8	A.	Director of digital marketing.	
9	Q.	Thank you.	
10	A.	Yes, sir.	
11	Q.	Any other individuals inside the	
12	corporation	that you spoke with in preparation to	
13	answer ques	tion 17?	
14	A.	No, I don't think so.	
15	Q.	Would you read topic 18 into the	
16	record.		
17	A.	The sale and/or shipment of P320 to	
18	Missouri, i	ncluding Sig Sauer's analysis of the	
19	same.		
20	Q.	And did you speak with any individuals	
21	to prepare	for answering that question?	
22	A.	I did. Outside of counsel I spoke wit	h
23	Jack Barnes	, Adam Asadoorian, and Cory Franks.	
24	Q.	You've probably heard this a lot, but	
25	there is ze	ro chance I could even say Adam's last	

- name. Is there any way you could give us a close spelling to it?
- A. I'll get close, it is an unusual spelling. A-S-S-A-D-O-O-R-I-A-N (sic). I might be off a double letter there, but I think that's going
- Q. You actually spelled it so I can at least, if I have a question, I can phonetically say it.
- 10 A. Yes.

to be close.

- 11 Q. So thank you.
- 12 And is Cory C-O-R-E-Y?
- 13 A. No E, just C-O-R-Y.
- Q. What is Jack Barnes' title?
- A. His current title is senior vice president, commercial sales.
- Q. What is Mr. Asadoorian's title?
- A. Directure -- sorry, director of
  commercial sales analytics, or something very close
  to that.
- Q. And then you say Cory Franks, correct?
- 22 A. Yes, yes.
- Q. What is Cory Franks' title?
- A. He's a sales representative. And, I'm sorry, I also spoke to a gentleman named Jacob

1	Wideman.	Tago To
2	Q.	And can you spell Wideman?
3	Α.	W-I-D-E-M-A-N.
4	Q.	So in Missouri we say Wideman.
5	Α.	He lives in Missouri, so
6	Q.	He does live in Missouri?
7	Α.	Uh-hum.
8	Q.	What part?
9	Α.	I'm not sure.
10	Q.	Do you know Mr. Wideman's title?
11	Α.	He's a sales rep also.
12	Q.	Any other individuals you spoke with in
13	preparation	for topic 18?
14	Α.	I believe that's all.
15	Q.	All right, thank you. And I believe
16	you also ha	ve topic 19. Can you read that into the
17	record?	
18	Α.	The suggested retail price, actual
19	price and a	ctual cash value of the P320 before and
20	after a P32	0 has been modified with a voluntary
21	upgrade.	
22	Q.	Did you speak to any individuals in
23	preparation	to answer topic 19?
24	A.	I think with regard to that one, that
25	would have	just been counsel. And I do believe

```
Page 17
 1
     there's another document where some of these have
 2
     had some suggested modifications from our counsel
 3
     as well.
               I've reviewed that document.
 4
          Q.
                 I'm sorry, I didn't understand your
 5
              Can you say that again?
     answer.
 6
                 Well, you're asking me if I prepared
          Α.
 7
     for these topics, and while I have, there's -- I
 8
     think there's some modifications suggested by our
 9
     counsel that I've read as well. So similar, but
10
     there are objections I think within those -- the
11
     other documents, so I prepared for that.
12
                 MR. WILLIAMS:
                                 I don't understand
13
     anything.
14
                 MS. GULLIVER: He's -- I can represent
15
     I think what he's referring to is we modified
16
```

some of -- each one of these to say what we would agree to put him up for, and you're just showing him your deposition notice instead of our objections and responses to it. So he's explaining that these categories are modified by our objections and responses.

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MR. WILLIAMS: Was there a protective order or anything? I don't -- I didn't --MS. GULLIVER: He doesn't --

25 I know I'm -- let's go MR. WILLIAMS:

Page 18 1 off the record for a second. 2 MS. GULLIVER: Sure. 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record. 9:19. 4 5 (Recess taken.) 6 We're back on the THE VIDEOGRAPHER: record, 9:28. Media No. 2. Please proceed. 7 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 8 9 You understand that you're still under Ο. 10 oath? 11 I do. Α. 12 All right. We were talking about topic Q. 13 19, and I believe you told me you spoke with 14 Did you speak with any other individuals counsel. 15 in preparation for topic 19? 16 I did speak with Jack Lawrence a little Α. bit about topic 19. 17 Anyone else? 18 0. 19 No. Α. 2.0 Did you review any documents in 0. 21 preparation to respond to topic 19? 22 Α. No, I did not. 23 Did you review any documents in 0. 24 preparation for topic 18? 25 I did. Α.

1 What documents did you review? Q. 2 Α. Sales report. 3 Q. And when you say sales report, what do 4 you mean? 5 Just the reporting of sales of 320 into Α. the state of Missouri. 6 7 Do you recall for what years? 0. 8 I believe it was during the period I'm 9 being questioned about. 10 Q. Any other documents to prepare or 11 respond to topic 18? 12 Α. No. 13 Did you review any documents in Ο. 14 preparation for topic 17? 15 Α. I did. What documents did you review? 16 Q. 17 I saw some marketing collateral such as Α. 18 ads, website pages, things of that nature. 19 And what do you mean when you refer to Ο. 20 marketing collateral? Collateral is a term that -- kind of 21 22 all inclusive of advertisements, websites, anything 23 that has to do with the different aspects of marketing that we do. 24

And you said you reviewed ads, and then

25

Q.

1 you had a -- I didn't understand the third 2 category? 3 Α. Website pages. Ads, website pages. believe that was the only two I was specific about. 4 5 Any other documents you reviewed other 0. than marketing collateral, ads and website pages? 6 7 I'm sorry, I did review catalog Α. No. 8 pages as well, sorry. 9 No problem. And what do you mean by Ο. 10 catalog pages? 11 It's a product catalog. Α. 12 Internal documents? Q. 13 Both internal and external, customer Α. 14 facing. 15 And, I'm sorry, when I say -- let me Ο. 16 try that question again. When you say catalog 17 pages, were those catalog pages created by 18 Sig Sauer, or were they, you know, someone else's 19 ads like, I don't know, Bass Pro? 20 These would have been created by Sig. Α. 21 Any other documents you reviewed that Ο. 2.2 we haven't discussed to prepare for category 17, 18 23 or 19 other than marketing collateral, ads, website 24 pages, catalog pages and sales reports?

Not that I recall.

25

Α.

**Thomas Taylor** 

1	Q.	With regard to Sig Sauer's marketing
2	P320 in Mis	souri, did you do or did Sig perform
3	any type of	analysis of the market?
4		MS. GULLIVER: Objection to form.
5	A.	Are you asking specifically about the
6	state of Mis	ssouri?
7	BY MR. WILL	IAMS:
8	Q.	Yes.
9	A.	No.
10	Q.	Does Sig perform marketing analysis?
11		MS. GULLIVER: Objection, form.
12	A.	What type of market analysis are you
13	referring to	o?
14	BY MR. WILL:	IAMS:
15	Q.	I guess that's what yes. That's
16	kind of like	e why I was like, wait, maybe I'm just
17	using the w	rong phrase or term.
18		Does Sig perform any analysis of say
19	like, Here's	s where we would be best suited to sell
20	our product:	s in the state of Missouri?
21	A.	I would think the answer to that
22	question wo	ald be no. Not specifically that kind
23	of analysis	•
24	Q.	What types of analysis does Sig do
25	before sell:	ing products in a state?

- 1 We don't really do a lot of Α. state-specific analysis, to be honest. 2 3 Q. When you said a lot, does that mean you don't do any? 4 5 I would say the only analysis we do state by state is at times we look at market share, 6 7 of what Sig's market share is in a given state so 8 we can understand if it's higher or lower than our 9 average. 10 0. And when Sig looks at its market share, 11 is that something that's performed on a quarterly 12 basis, an annual basis, or just randomly? 13 Objection, form. MS. GULLIVER: We get -- we get the data on a monthly 14 Α. 15 basis, but we don't always analyze it on a monthly There's no set time period we analyze it 16 basis. 17 on. 18 BY MR. WILLIAMS: And when you say you get data on a 19 0. 20 monthly basis, what data does Sig receive on a 21 monthly basis? 22 Α. BATF data. 23 And just so the record is clear, what 0.
- 25 Bureau of Alcohol Firearms and Tobacco. Α.

is the BATF data?

1	Page 2 Q. And is there a department or group that
2	is set up to analyze that data?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. What is that group called?
5	A. Our commercial sales analytics team.
6	Q. And if you know, who is the head of the
7	commercial analytics team?
8	A. Adam Asadoorian.
9	Q. And I believe we already have that
10	spelling, as close as we're going to get it,
11	correct?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. So when you were in the chief marketing
14	role, what type of information or data would
15	Mr. Asadoorian provide you with regard to the
16	Missouri market?
17	A. Only a list, there's nothing
18	specifically pulled out for Missouri, it would just
19	be a list of states that would show their market
20	share across all 50 states.
21	Q. And would that data also tell you the
22	number of units that were sold in Missouri?
23	A. No.
24	Q. And so when you say market share, is it

just --

	Thomas Taylor September 12,
1	Page A. I'm sorry, yes, it would. Some of our
2	reports are revenue, some are are units. That
3	one would be units. So, yes. Yes.
4	Q. Okay.
5	A. Sorry.
6	Q. And so that report would show you the
7	number of units that were sold in Missouri on any
8	given month, correct?
9	A. Correct.
LO	Q. And you also mentioned that sometimes
L1	the market share is based on revenue, is that
L2	correct?
L3	A. It is.
L4	Q. And would that show you the revenue
L5	that Sig was receiving from Missouri on any given
L6	month?

- 17 Not that data, no. Α.
  - What data would show you the revenue Q. that Sig was receiving from Missouri sales of -and I just realized something. Let me back up for a second.
- 22 Uh-hum. Α.

19

20

21

23 When we talked about the BATF data and Q. 24 that it would show you the number of units that 25 were sold?

Α.	Yes.
----	------

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. Were you talking about -- would it tell you the number of P320 units that were sold?
  - A. No.
- Q. It only tells -- does it -- is that -- is -- so the data that you would receive in that report is only specific to the number of guns sold, not any specific product line, is that correct?

#### A. Yes.

- Q. Is there a data report that you would -- that tells you the number of units of P320s that would have been sold in Missouri?

  MS. GULLIVER: Objection to form.
- A. We do -- we could track that by unit and revenue with internal sales reports for direct customers in Missouri.
- 17 | BY MR. WILLIAMS:
- 18 Q. Okay. Say that again.
- A. We can track revenue and units of -- by
  product line, P320 for example, going into Missouri
  for our customers who buy from us directly in
  Missouri. And when I say customers, I mean
  distributors, dealers, not consumers.
- Q. So if I'm understanding you, what you're saying is those numbers would be based on

- Thomas Taylor Page 26 1 units sold to -- sold commercially, i.e., to dealers or the Bass Pros, commercial retail sales 2 3 like that, but not to specific Missouri residents, 4 is that correct? 5 Α. Yes. 6
  - Is there any report that you're aware 0. of that would tell you the number of units that were sold to individuals, separate from this report we just talked about that -- units sold to Missouri residents as individuals?

11 MS. GULLIVER: Objection to form.

- Not those -- none of those reports Α. would tell us that. We have some very limited direct sales to consumers on our website. custom -- custom gun builder, so to speak, and those we can track that, but it's a very, very low volume.
- 18 BY MR. WILLIAMS:

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

- 19 Okay. So if I'm understanding your 0. 2.0 testimony, the primary mechanism for sales of P320s 21 in Missouri would be to distributors and commercial 2.2 entities, is that correct?
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 And that there would be very few that Q. 25 were sold directly to consumers in Missouri?

## A. Yes, that's accurate.

- Q. And the reports that you could -- that would be generated for the sales to the commercial and the distributors could tell you the number of units and it could tell you the amount of revenue on a monthly basis, is that correct?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

- Q. Now, we've talked about the sales. I want to talk to you about marketing, i.e., ad buys and things of that nature, okay?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Does Sig Sauer have -- does Sig Sauer

  buy ads or -- I'm sorry, I should say

  advertisements that are sent to consumers in
- MS. GULLIVER: Objection to form.
- 17 A. Not specifically in Missouri, no.
- 18 | BY MR. WILLIAMS:

Missouri?

- Q. And does Sig Sauer buy advertisements that are just sent out to consumers?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And what forms of advertisement does
  Sig send out to consumers?
- A. So we do print advertising, television advertising, social media are the primary vehicles.

Page 28

- 1 And what type of print advertising does Q. 2 Sig do? 3 Α. Just page ads in magazines. 4 0. Can you give us a -- the top five 5 magazines that you would advertise in? 6 Some of the top three would be Guns & Α. 7 Ammo Magazine, Recoil. A lot of the ads we buy are 8 by ownership names and so they -- how they get put 9 out there is -- it's hard to determine the top 10 five, but those are probably the top -- the top couple, would be Guns & Ammo and Recoil. 11 12 And then when you say you buy Q. Okay. 13 them by advertiser, you mean like you have a --14 with Hearst or something? 15 Α. Yes. Not Hearst, but something like 16 that. 17 What groups would that be? Ο. Okay. 18 Athlon is one ownership group, Outdoor Α. 19 Sports Group. Those are the two primary, the 20 biggest.
- 21 And then those groups own different 0. 2.2 magazines, newspapers, whatever?
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 And so you're doing a general ad buy? Q.
- 25 Α. Yes.

- Q. And there's no limitation on that general ad buy that says you can't run these ads in Missouri, correct?
- A. I can't speak to whether they can do a state-specific ad, I don't know whether they can or whether they can't, but we do not, we only buy national ads.
  - Q. You said you also advertise on TV?
- 9 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

24

- 10 Q. What type of TV -- what TV mediums do 11 you advertise on?
- 12 We don't do as much TV as we used to do Α. 13 because it's not a primary medium anymore, as you 14 But the hunting shows on television. 15 Buckmasters is one we still buy. We do some what's 16 called run of press, where we'll go into the 17 Outdoor Network, which is like the Athlons and the Outdoor Sports Group, but the TV version of that 18 19 where we'll run a press, where we'll buy ads and 20 then it just runs on the channel, so it doesn't 21 necessarily run on a particular show. So those are 22 the -- those are the primary vehicles that we do on 23 TV and print.
  - Q. What about advertising buys on social media? What are your primary advertisings on

social media?

- A. So, being a gun company we can't really buy ads on social media, so when I say we're using social media for marketing, it's our Instagram pages, our Facebook pages, our YouTube channels, and then we work with a lot of ambassadors and influencers on social media to talk about Sig products.
- Q. Any other media that you advertise on that we haven't discussed?
- A. No.
- Q. Do you do any type of cobranding or advertising with, like, say, a Bass Pro?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. What do you do with -- what type of advertising or cobranding do you do with Bass Pro?
- A. They'll run ads and they'll collaborate with us for logos and pictures of our products.

  They have -- throughout the year they have a couple special events in their advertising that they do and we collaborate with them for a half-page ad or something like that.
- Q. Same thing with Cabela's?
- A. Yes. And those are national, not -
  25 not state-specific.

1 Q. Bass Pro is out of Springfield, Missouri, correct? 2 3 Α. Yes. 4 Now, does Sig do any counter-marketing? 0. 5 I.e., there's a story going on that says the P320 6 goes off without you touching the trigger, and then 7 there are obviously stories that run that say that's not true. Does Sig participate or do any 8 9 marketing to counter stories that may be adverse to 10 their products? 11 MS. GULLIVER: Objection to form. 12 I'm not sure I would exactly call it Α. 13 marketing, but, yes, we do counter those things. 14 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 15 0. Okay. Well, I'm the guy that wants to 16 use a term that you're comfortable with, so what 17 would you call that? 18 I think we try to make statements and 19 so forth to make sure our point of view is on the 20 record. 21 Do you have a name that you refer to Ο. 2.2 that as? 23 Α. No. 24 (Taylor Exhibit 2 was marked for 25 identification.)

```
Page 32
 1
     BY MR. WILLIAMS:
 2
                  I'm going to hand you what's been
     marked as Exhibit 2.
 3
 4
          Α.
                  Okay.
 5
                  If you look at the second page of 22,
          0.
 6
     which is Siq Glasscock 00000248. That's an e-mail
 7
     from you, correct?
 8
          Α.
                  Yes.
 9
                 And that's dated 6/8/2018 at 12:32
          0.
10
     p.m.?
11
          Α.
                  Yes.
12
                  Did you -- and if you look at the first
          Q.
13
     page, did you draft this document?
14
                  I wouldn't have individually drafted
          Α.
15
     it, I would have been involved in the drafting of
     this.
16
17
          Ο.
                  I'm sorry, say that again?
                  I would have been involved in the
18
          Α.
19
     drafting of this.
20
                 And when you said that there's, you
          0.
21
     know, statements to make sure Sig's point of view
2.2
     is on the record, is this the type of article you
23
     mean, or the type of statement you mean?
24
                  MS. GULLIVER:
                                 Objection to form.
```

Not necessarily. This is -- this is a

25

Α.

1 communication to our bank to let them know. So it 2 would be part of that, but this is -- this wasn't 3 public facing, necessarily, this was just sort of a record to our bank to let them know about this CNN 4 5 article that was potentially coming. 6 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 7 And do you recall if this was just sent Ο. 8 to your bank, or was it sent to multiple groups? 9 I don't know if this format or version Α. 10 exactly was sent -- who this was sent to besides 11 our bank. 12 (Taylor Exhibit 3 was marked for 13 identification.) BY MR. WILLIAMS: 14 15 0. I'm going to hand you what has been 16 marked as Exhibit 3. 17 Α. Okay. 18 Now, did you play a part in drafting Ο. 19 this document? 20 I did. Α. 21 And was this document forward-facing, 0. 2.2 or was it to your bank or someone like that? 23 Α. I believe this would have been an 24 outward-facing document.

And so is this what you meant when you

25

Q.

```
Page 34
 1
     said statements to make sure your point of view is
 2
     on the record?
 3
          Α.
                 Yes.
                 And this addresses an issue that
 4
          0.
 5
     occurred with the Canadian Special Forces Operation
     Command -- Canadian Special Operations Forces
 6
 7
     Command?
 8
          Α.
                 Yes.
 9
          (Taylor Exhibit 4 was marked for
10
          identification.)
11
     BY MR. WILLIAMS:
12
                 I'm going to hand you what has been
          Q.
13
     marked as Exhibit 4.
14
                                 I'm sorry, I stapled the
                 MR. WILLIAMS:
     extra copy. Do you want me to staple that one?
15
16
                 MS. GULLIVER:
                                 What do you mean?
17
     What's attached?
18
                 MR. WILLIAMS:
                                 No, what I'm saying is
19
     the copy I have for you is stapled.
                                           That one's
2.0
           I try to keep them consistent. If you don't
21
     care -- okay.
2.2
                 MS. GULLIVER: Well, I appreciate that,
23
     but, no, I'm good. Thank you.
24
                 MR. WILLIAMS: I always bring a
```

stapler, but sometimes my brain doesn't work right.

1 MS. DENNISON: And I hate to interrupt, 2 but since you're talking. If these documents, 3 because I'm remote, if these documents are Bates 4 labeled, if you could identify them by the Bates 5 label, that would be really helpful for me. 6 MS. GULLIVER: I'm happy to read 7 Exhibit 2 and 3 in, if that's okay? 8 MR. WILLIAMS: 9 But I think we also need MS. GULLIVER: to -- for some reason this one's cut off on Exhibit 10 11 4. 12 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. 13 MS. GULLIVER: So Exhibit 2 is Sig 14 Glasscock 00000248 and 247, and then Exhibit 3 is 15 Sig Glasscock 00000199 to 200. 16 And then do you know what Exhibit 4 is? 17 I do not have the MR. WILLIAMS: 18 document number. Whoever, when they printed it or 19 copied it at the Kinkos, I quess they cut it off. 20 MS. DENNISON: Okay. I've seen that 21 happen before. 2.2 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. But what --23 MS. DENNISON: It happened to me last 24 week in a deposition I was doing, but thank you 25 very much, I appreciate it.

```
Page 36
                                  So -- but if you don't
 1
                  MR. WILLIAMS:
 2
     mind, I'll be back in Kansas City tomorrow.
 3
                  MS. GULLIVER:
                                  Great.
 4
                  MR. WILLIAMS:
                                  And so I can shoot you
 5
     an e-mail that says, Hey, Exhibit 4 was this
 6
     document.
 7
                                  That would be great,
                  MS. GULLIVER:
 8
     thank you.
 9
                                  Thank you.
                  MS. DENNISON:
10
                  MR. WILLIAMS:
                                  I have to make myself a
11
     note, or I'll forget.
12
     BY MR. WILLIAMS:
13
                  I'm sorry, we sidetracked you. Did you
          Ο.
14
     get a chance to look at Exhibit 4?
15
          Α.
                  I did.
16
                  And Exhibit 4 is a document from
          Q.
17
     Samantha Piatt dated July 27 of 2021 at 4:51 p.m.,
18
     correct?
19
                  Yes.
          Α.
2.0
                  And it is being sent from her to you?
          O.
21
                  Yes.
          Α.
2.2
          0.
                  And it just says, With options, is the
23
     subject, correct?
24
          Α.
                  Yes.
25
                  Did Ms. -- am I saying that right,
          Q.
```

1	1 Piatt?	Page 37						
2	2 A. Yes.							
3	3 Q. Okay. Did Ms. Pi	att report to you?						
4	4 A. Yes.							
5	5 Q. And did you ask h	er to draft this						
6	6 document?							
7	7 A. I don't know if a	sk would be the exact						
8	right way to put it. When we're in one of these							
9	situations we would meet, you know, collaboratively							
10	0 decide what we were going to	do, so it would have						
11	1 been a collaborative discussion	on, most likely.						
12	Q. And this is deali	ng with I guess the						
13	attachment says 7/26/21 ABC statement 5.docs,							
14	4 correct?	correct?						
15	5 A. Uh-hum. Yes, sir	•						
16	Q. And if we look at	the second page,						
17	which I apologize, we don't have a Bates number							
18	8 for, it says statement of 07/	for, it says statement of 07/27, Option 1, news.						
19	And it goes through a statement, We are							
20	disappointed but not surprised that a liberal							
21	antigun media outlet like ABC has chosen to report							
22	and sensationalize frivolous lawsuits being							
23	3 propagated by trial lawyers a	propagated by trial lawyers as newsworthy.						
24	Did I read that accurately?							
25	5 A. Yes.							

1 So Option 1 means -- and it says news. Q. 2 Does that mean you were going to send it to news 3 outlets, or what does that mean? 4 Objection, form. MS. GULLIVER: 5 No, it just meant Option 1 was if we Α. 6 chose to talk about the fact that this was reported 7 by a news outlet that might have an agenda, that's 8 the opening we would use. 9 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 10 0. And so is it Sig's position that ABC 11 News is antiqun media? 12 Objection to form. MS. GULLIVER: 13 That's our belief. Α. 14 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 15 0. And then Option 2 says, Trial lawyers. Once again, this lawsuit is a result of unsupported 16 17 allegations and claims being propagated by trial 18 attorneys seeking personal financial gain for a 19 negligent discharge caused by the (insert officer, 20 if LE) mishandling and/or misuse of a firearm. 21 Did I read that accurately? 22 Α. Yes. 23 What does LE stand for? Q. 24 Law enforcement. Α.

So Option 2 is -- Option 1 says ABC is

25

Q.

Page 39

```
1
     an antiqun media outlet, correct?
 2
          Α.
                 Yes.
 3
          Q.
                 Option 2 says that it's unsupported
 4
     allegations or claims being propagated by trial
 5
     attorneys seeking personal financial gain, correct?
 6
                 MS. GULLIVER:
                                 Objection, form.
 7
                 Yes.
          Α.
     BY MR. WILLIAMS:
 8
 9
                 And then there's an option 3 that says
          Ο.
             Who is Glock?
10
     Glock.
11
                 Glock is a firearms company.
          Α.
12
                 And it's one of your competitors?
          Q.
13
          Α.
                 Yes.
14
                 And Option 3 reads, We are not
          0.
15
     surprised that our competitor has decided to
16
     participate in sensationalizing false claims that
17
     seek to undermine the success of the P320.
18
                 This is nothing more than an act of
19
     desperation due to their inability to prove
20
     themselves in a head-to-head match-up with us.
21
     Correct?
22
          Α.
                 Yes.
23
                 So did you believe Glock had something
          0.
24
     to do with the ABC story?
25
                 What these three options were we're
          Α.
```

simply early in the process understanding what was going on with whichever situation this was.

You know, news is involved in reporting something that was sensationalized. You know, trial lawyers approaching law enforcement officers, and we had intel at times that our competitor was out sharing, propagating news reports with police departments and such that there were allegations against the 320.

So -- but this document, it was just us capturing our thoughts as to which way we might go with it, depending on what we ultimately found out about the situation.

- Q. So Option 1, blame the news, Option 2, blame the trial lawyers, Option 3, blame Glock, correct?
- MS. GULLIVER: Objection to form.
  - A. No, we were not looking to place blame, we are considering all of our options in terms of where and how the allegations were being propagated.
- 22 BY MR. WILLIAMS:

Q. Are there any other news outlets -- are there any major news outlets that Sig does not believe is antigun media?

```
1
                                Objection, beyond the
                 MS. GULLIVER:
 2
             You can answer in your personal capacity.
 3
          Α.
                 I think there are outlets that are more
     or less favorable to guns, but we're -- I would say
 4
 5
    we're cautious with all media. Even ones that are
 6
    more conservative, we're cautious with all.
 7
                 MS. GULLIVER:
                                Mike, we've been -- oh,
 8
     I'm sorry, are you done questioning on this?
 9
                 MR. WILLIAMS:
                                Sorry.
                                         I have weird
                                         My significant
10
     facial ticks that I don't notice.
11
     other tells me I speak with my face.
                                            I was just
12
     thinking.
13
                 MS. GULLIVER:
                                Okay. But I was going
14
     to ask for a break, but if you're not done with
15
     this document, I don't want to get --
16
                 MR. WILLIAMS: Oh, no. I am a fan of
17
    breaks.
              I don't want to keep you here all day,
18
     so -- but if we need to take a break, we can take a
19
    break.
20
                                Just a short one, if
                 MS. GULLIVER:
21
     that's okay.
2.2
                 MR. WILLIAMS:
                                Oh, yes.
23
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
                                    Off the record,
24
     10:05.
25
                        (Recess taken.)
```

- Page 43

  1 you, you know, you sell to the distributor and

  2 that's how you know what units are sold in Missouri

  3 and what percentage of market share?

  4 A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. I believe this helps us understand that, and so that's why I pulled this out. If we look at the key, the first one says Ship date range. And at least for this document it says, Between 01 September of '17 and 18 April of '22, correct?
- 11 A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

- 12 Q. Tell me what that means, the ship 13 range.
- 14 A. It's just the date range the report was pulled.
- Q. And those are just variables that you add in?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And that would be shipments to Missouri?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Then the next -- it says, next category, Ship to customer category code. And then it has a bunch of stuff. What does that tell us?
- 25 A. Here where it says not in, it's just

- Thomas Taylor 1 telling you what's been excluded from this report, all these different categories within Sig Sauer. 2 3 Q. And so if you take all those categories out, then that would leave the number of commercial 4 5 sales in Missouri, or what would it leave? It would leave all the commercial sales 6 Α. 7 in Missouri with the exception, when we were 8 reviewing this data yesterday, conservation, the 9 last one here is a consumer sale. So we did -- I think we are having that added back in. 10 11 Okay. So other than conservation, Ο. 12 these are categories of things or categories of sales that are not to the distributors and the 13 retailers that sell to consumers, correct? 14 15 Α. True. 16 And that's limited to Missouri, Q. 17 correct? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Ο. The next one says Value type fin. 20 have no idea what that means? 21 I'm not exactly sure what that means. Α. 0. Okay. The next one says Part equals
- 2.2 23 H-D-G-U-N, so I'm assuming that's handqun? 24 Α. Yes.

And then the next category is Model, Q.

1 which tells us only P320. So I am to understand 2 that this chart has singled out P320 handgun sales 3 to retailers that sell to consumers in Missouri? 4 Objection to form. MS. GULLIVER: 5 Retailers and distributors. Α. 6 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 7 Oh, I'm sorry, I left off distributors. 0. 8 Α. Yes. 9 So retailers and distributors 0. Okay. that sell handguns to Missouri and that it's 10 11 limited to just P320, correct? 12 MS. GULLIVER: Objection to form. 13 Α. Yes. 14 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 15 0. And we know that because the next one says state is Missouri, correct? 16 17 Α. Yes. 18 And the line category code means all. Ο. 19 Tell us what that means. 20 I'm not sure. Α. 21 What about source system? 0. 22 Α. Source system, Sig Sauer Oracle EBS is 23 just our mainframe system that all of our sales 24 data gets pulled into. So it's being pulled from

25

that system.

- Q. Okay. And how long -- if you know, how far back to go with the Oracle system?
  - A. We've had Oracle since I've been at Sig Sauer.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Okay, perfect. And then it says Order type. And can you explain that? Because I know it looks like another exclusion.
- A. Yeah. Not in -- we're not including, for example, T&E is a test and evaluation order, which might mean we've sent it to a writer, a media member, which is test and evaluation.

Warranty and repairs, if you pull this without excluding those, it would -- it would track a gun that was sent in to Sig by a consumer and sent back to a consumer. So we've excluded anything that wouldn't be a new gun sale into the state.

- Q. And then the last one, is that -- what does -- C-U-S-T-S-V-E-C-E, what does that stand for?
- 21 A. Sorry. I see business group.
- Q. No, no, no. I'm sorry. At the end of the --
- 24 A. Oh, I'm sorry. That's customer service 25 order type.

- Q. What does that mean?
- 2 A. So customer service is -- same thing, 3 it's all -- those are all part of the warranty,
- 5 Q. Oh.

customer service.

1

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- A. If a customer calls customer service,

  has to transact a gun being repaired and sent back,

  it would exclude any of those kinds of order -
  order types.
  - Q. And then it says Business group, and it excluded these. What is that?
    - A. So it's just telling you that it's commercial sales, it's excluding law enforcement, military, government, international export, and so on.
  - Q. Okay. And then if we just go to the next page. When we look at those categories it kind of lays them out as to even further, like which city it went to, the ZIP code, you know, all of those things, correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- MS. GULLIVER: Objection, form.
- 23 BY MR. WILLIAMS:
- Q. And then if we look at the third page in category H it actually has the date that the

```
Page 48
 1
     product was shipped, the item number and a
 2
     description, correct?
 3
          Α.
                 Yes.
                 And then the last page just has the
 4
          0.
 5
     serial number, correct?
 6
          Α.
                 Yes.
 7
                 And so this is a way that you could see
          Ο.
 8
     how many handguns -- how many P320 handguns were
 9
     sold in Missouri to retailers and distributors?
10
                 MS. GULLIVER:
                                 Objection to form.
11
          Α.
                 Commercial. Commercial retailers and
12
     distributors, yes.
13
     BY MR. WILLIAMS:
14
          Q.
                 Okay.
                                 Same objection.
15
                 MS. GULLIVER:
16
     BY MR. WILLIAMS:
17
                 And just out of curiosity, do you know
     why the date of April 18 of 2022 is selected?
18
19
          Α.
                 I don't know specifically if that's the
20
     date range I was asked to prepare for.
21
          Ο.
                 Okav.
                         If we look at No. 6 -- oh, I'm
2.2
             If we look at -- I'm getting too
23
     comfortable with you. You've got just such a nice
24
     demeanor.
```

If you look at Exhibit 6, what is

this -- how is this chart different than the one we just looked at?

2.2

A. I believe this was a first version that we pulled that just had to be -- we had to ask more questions and make sure it was giving -- giving you what you were looking for.

This one does not have the customer name, for example, the dealer or distributor it was sent to, so I think we just -- in Exhibit 5 I believe we just expanded our fields to make sure it was giving you the right information.

- Q. Okay. So if I'm short-circuiting this, can I say that Exhibit 5 is a more detailed analysis of the information in Exhibit 6?
  - A. I believe it is.
- Q. Okay. And I think your prior answer eliminates this category, and -- Matt's finally calling back. Sorry, I'll put this phone in my pocket.

I believe you've already answered this, so I just want to make sure. The document that we looked at and that information is what you would consider your -- would be the analysis information that you would look at when we're talking about sales and Missouri, correct?

1 MS. GULLIVER: Objection to form.

wouldn't look at it this way, no.

- A. I don't think the -- I think the answer
  is not exactly because I -- we don't -- I don't
  look at information this way. Just in my analysis
  I wouldn't look at it by store or by the state, but
  it's the database we use to analyze data, but I
- 8 BY MR. WILLIAMS:

7

18

19

20

- 9 Q. Okay, maybe that's a better way to say
  10 it. If I'm understanding your prior testimony, you
  11 don't do a state -- you don't look at a
  12 state-by-state analysis of sales on a monthly
  13 basis, is that correct?
- 14 A. No.
- Q. But you have a database that we just looked at that allows you, if you needed to, to refine the sales in a certain state, correct?
  - A. Yes. Sales within a retail or a distributor, but not total sales in the state?
  - Q. Got you. Or I should say shipments in a state, how's that?
- 22 A. Sig's shipments directly into the 23 state.
- Q. Okay. See, you just limited a whole category by giving me that, because that was 18.

```
Thomas Taylor
                                                      September 12, 2024
                                                           Page 51
 1
          (Taylor Exhibit 7 was marked for
 2
          identification.)
 3
                  MR. WILLIAMS:
                                  I'm going to give you
     the Bates numbers for these because apparently when
 4
 5
     they made the copies they didn't -- but this one
 6
     has it.
 7
     BY MR. WILLIAMS:
 8
                  So you have been handed Exhibit 7,
 9
     which is Bates numbered 157 and 158, and that
10
     goes -- that's what starts, and I guess they've cut
11
     mine off, too, because there was an attachment when
12
     they printed it. So I know the first two pages are
13
     157, 158, and then these are the attachments that
14
     follow.
15
                  And so if you'd look at Exhibit 7.
16
     that an e-mail from Samantha Piatt?
17
          Α.
                  Yes.
18
                  And it's dated 7/28 of '21 at
          0.
19
     10:22 a.m.?
20
          Α.
                  Yes.
21
                  And this was sent to you?
          O.
22
          Α.
                  Yes.
23
                  And some other people?
          Q.
24
          Α.
                  Yes.
25
                  And it says the attachments are
          Q.
```

		Thomas Taylor September 12, 20						
1	Sig Sauer	Page 52 P320 news articles?						
2	A.	Yes.						
3	Q.	And then below that e-mail is an e-mail						
4	for a Gary Wagschal from ABC.com, do you see that?							
5	A.	I do.						
6	Q.	And he is asking certain questions and						
7	information related to the news articles, correct?							
8	A.	Yes.						
9	Q.	And then the attachments are just						
10	various news articles about P320 and different							
11	incidences?							
12	A.	Yes.						
13	Q.	Now, in Mr. Wagschal's message his						
14	first sentence talks about that he's a senior							
15	producer for ABC, correct?							
16	A.	Yes.						
17	Q.	And that he's working on a story for						
18	Good Morning America and Nightline about the Sig							
19	P320, correct?							
20	A.	Yes.						
21	Q.	His next sentence says, According to						
22	news reports, lawsuits and eyewitness accounts, it							
23	is alleged to misfire, that is the pistol is							
24	accused of firing on its own without any anyone							

pulling the trigger. Correct?

# A. Yes.

2.2

Q. And then in the next paragraph he says that, Attached are a compilation of news articles and TV reports about the alleged problem with the Sig Sauer P320. Correct?

### A. Yes.

Q. And he tells you that, That is the scope of their investigation, and that we are not investigating other guns that are associated with Sig Sauer. Correct?

### A. Yes.

Q. And the next sentence says, These articles and TV reports should give you a clear idea about our news program -- or what our news programs will be about and what material allegations are involving -- what the material allegations are involving the gun that we are investigating. Correct?

#### A. Yes.

Q. He says -- next he says, We are currently researching and reporting, but as discussed, in future as we get closer to deciding which alleged victims and other individuals we plan to include in our broadcast pieces, we will give you a timely opportunity to respond to these -- to

Thomas Taylor September 12, 2024 Page 54 1 their specific allegations. Correct? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Q. And the next paragraph asks for a 4 spokesman from Sig Sauer to talk on camera to our 5 respected, fair and experienced ABC's news 6 correspondent David Scott in a television 7 interview, correct? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Have you had any dealings with David 0. 10 Scott? 11 Α. No. 12 Have you ever watched any of his news Q. 13 reports to see kind of if he's fair and balanced? 14 Not that I recall. Α. 15 0. And then the next to the last sentence 16 says, Please let us know if this on -- if this on 17 camera with David is a possibility, and if so, when 18 that could occur. We are willing to do the 19 interview at the location and time of your 20 choosing, assuming it works within the production 21 schedule. Correct? 22 Α. Yes. 23 MS. GULLIVER: Objection to form. 24 BY MR. WILLIAMS:

Did you ever speak to Mr. Wagschal?

25

Q.

```
Thomas Taylor
                                                       September 12, 2024
                                                             Page 55
 1
          Α.
                  No.
 2
                  Did you ever speak to David Scott?
           Q.
 3
          Α.
                  No.
           (Taylor Exhibit 8 was marked for
 4
 5
           identification.)
 6
     BY MR. WILLIAMS:
 7
                  I'm handing you what has been marked as
           Ο.
     Exhibit 8.
 8
 9
                  MR. WILLIAMS: For the record, the
10
     first page of the document is Sig 146, and the
11
     remainder is just the attachment, but somehow they
12
     cut off the number. This is why you don't let Todd
13
     make copies.
14
          Α.
                  Okay.
15
     BY MR. WILLIAMS:
16
           Q.
                  All right. Have you had a chance to
     review Exhibit 8?
17
18
                  I have.
          Α.
19
                  This is an e-mail from Ms. Piatt to
           Ο.
2.0
     you, correct, and some others?
21
          Α.
                  Yes.
2.2
          0.
                  And it's dated 8/17 of '21 at 2:11
23
     p.m.?
```

25

Α.

Q.

Yes.

And the subject is Media doc/statement,

Page 56

correct?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

- 2 A. Yes.
  - Q. And it just starts out, So the result of my meeting with RC this a.m. was the following.

I'm curious about No. 2, and here's my question. It says, Who are we talking to, and then subsection A, Come up with a grid about how we communicate with various constituencies that we would want to address. Do you see that?

- A. I do.
- Q. And then four pages later there's a grid, and the grid is titled Communications

  Description, correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
  - Q. And my question -- and it kind of lays out some options, correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. Is this the standard communication grid that is done if there's some kind of media communication to go out?
- 21 MS. GULLIVER: Objection to form.
- A. I don't think there's anything

  standard, I think this is a one-off example of how

  to address different channels and constituencies.
- 25 BY MR. WILLIAMS:

Q. Okay. So this isn't a form that's filled out every time there's an incident or issue, this is just something she did for this specific issue, correct?

Thomas Taylor

- A. I don't believe the intent was this specific issue, I was thinking what was going on at this time, whether there was one or several issues, I think she was trying to come up with a process that we would have to communicate to different constituencies.
- Q. Okay. Yes, I was just wondering if it was like, Hey, this is the process we use every time, or if this was just a one-off that she came up with. So thank you very much for that answer.
- A. Yes, sir.
- 16 Q. And, see, we can move on.
- 17 A. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- 18 (Taylor Exhibit 9 was marked for
- 19 | identification.)
- 20 BY MR. WILLIAMS:
- Q. I'm going to hand you what has been marked as Exhibit 9.
- A. Okay.
- Q. Okay, this is a message on -- I'm
  sorry. This is an e-mail from Kyle Reyes, correct?

Thomas Taylor September 12, 2024 Page 58 1 Α. Yes. 2 MR. WILLIAMS: And I'm sorry, it's 3 document No. 141 and 142, Sig Glasscock 141 and 4 142. 5 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 6 And this is to you and some other Q. 7 individuals, correct? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Who is Kyle Reyes? 0. 10 Α. He's a media member and a marketing 11 agency owner. 12 And in 2021 did Sig have a contract Q. 13 with Mr. Reves? 14 Α. No. 15 Q. Has Sig hired Mr. Reyes in any capacity 16 to do marketing or... 17 Α. No. 18 And I know it says that he's the 0. 19 national spokesman Law Enforcement Today; president and CEO, The Silent Partner? 2.0 21 Α. Yes. 2.2 0. Has Sig had any contracts with The 23 Silent Partner? 24 No formal contracts, no. Α.

What do you mean formal contract?

25

Q.

1 No contracts with them. Α. 2 And does Sig advertise with them or 0. 3 something? 4 Well, The Silent Partner is not a Α. No. 5 media outlet, that's a marketing agency. 6 Is the Law Enforcement Thank you. 0. 7 Today, is that a media outlet or is that --8 Α. That's a media outlet. 9 Okay. Does Sig advertise with Law 0. 10 Enforcement Today? 11 Α. No. 12 The to is to Patrick Droney? Q. Okay. 13 Α. Yes. 14 Does Sig have a relationship with Q. 15 Mr. Droney? 16 Α. No. 17 And if I could summarize this e-mail, Ο. 18 it's Mr. Reyes introducing Mr. Droney to you and a 19 few others at Sig, correct? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Do you know if Mr. Droney ended up 0. 2.2 writing an article or collaborating with Sig on an 23 article? 24 MS. GULLIVER: Objection to form. 25 I believe he did write an article, but Α.

```
1
     he did not specifically collaborate with us.
 2
     BY MR. WILLIAMS:
 3
          Q.
                 What do you mean he didn't collaborate
 4
     with Sia?
 5
                 To my knowledge, we never had any
          Α.
     formal communications with him about the article,
 6
 7
     about any articles that he wrote.
                 Did you ever -- did you or anyone on
 8
          0.
 9
     behalf of Sig meet with Mr. Droney?
10
          Α.
                 No.
11
                 Did you -- did Sig ask Mr. Reyes to
          Ο.
12
     make this introduction?
13
                 This communication was generated from a
          Α.
14
     conversation I had with Kyle Reyes, and he was
15
     concerned about the allegations being made and
16
     asked if Law Enforcement Today can help.
                                                 That was
17
     the -- that was the context of this document.
18
                 Okay. Got you.
                                   So you were
          0.
19
     acquaintances with Mr. Reyes?
20
          Α.
                 Yes.
21
                 And during a communication Mr. Reves
          Ο.
2.2
     decided to make this introduction?
23
          Α.
                 Yes.
24
                 And you didn't solicit -- you -- Sig,
          Q.
```

you, on behalf of Sig or Sig did not solicit

```
Thomas Taylor
                                                      September 12, 2024
                                                            Page 61
 1
     Mr. Reyes to get an introduction to Pat Droney so
 2
     he could help you?
 3
          Α.
                  No.
 4
          Ο.
                  Okay.
 5
          (Taylor Exhibit 10 was marked for
 6
          identification.)
 7
     BY MR. WILLIAMS:
 8
                  I'm handing you what has been marked as
 9
     Exhibit 10.
10
                  MR. WILLIAMS:
                                  For the record, this is
     Sig Glasscock 128, 129, and the attachment that has
11
12
     the -- the attachment to the e-mail that has the
13
     numbers off the edge.
14
          Α.
                  Okay.
15
                  (Discussion off the record.)
16
                  MR. WILLIAMS:
                                  Sorry, we're just
17
     coordinating so that we try to not impinge upon
18
     your day. I understand you're a busy man and I
19
     don't like to impinge upon people's day any more
20
     than I have to.
21
          Α.
                  All good.
2.2
     BY MR. WILLIAMS:
23
                  I've handed you what has been marked as
          0.
24
     Exhibit 10.
```

Α.

Yes.

- Page 62 1 Exhibit 10 appears to be an e-mail from Q. 2 you to a Mr. Jason Vincent, correct? 3 Α. Yes. 4 And that was on 8/28 of '21? Q. 5 Α. Yes. 6 And who is Jason Vincent? Ο. 7 He's a media member. Α. 8 Is he a writer, or is he --Ο. 9 I believe specifically he's an editor, Α. 10 but, yes, similar vein. 11 And who is he an editor for? Ο. 12 Field Ethos magazine. Α. 13 What is Field Ethos, if you know? Ο. It's an outdoor magazine, hunting, 14 Α. 15 shooting. I believe they -- I think they actually 16 get into fishing and some other things like that, 17 but it's an outdoor publication. 18 And here you have -- you forwarded him 0. 19 the ABC statement and a few postings by PEO Soldier 2.0 and Picatinny Arsenal, correct? 21 Yes. Α. 2.2 0. Is Field Ethos one of the magazines or 23 brands that you advertise with?
- 25 time, we don't any longer.

Α.

We were advertising with them at that

- Q. And do you recall why you sent him this information?
- A. I believe we had a conversation, and as you see, it says -- it says, Great to catch up with you on so many fronts yesterday. So we would have talked about what was going on with the ABC News and so forth.
- Q. Okay. But you weren't asking him to write an article or do any advertising related to the response to these stories, is that correct?

  MS. GULLIVER: Objection to form.
- A. I believe as just in my normal course of doing my job and talking with media members, a lot of them had reached out to us and said, Hey, what's going on with this? And I would have filled them in and, you know, some said, Can we help, can we write a story about this, whatever, we're going to try to get your point of view out. So there were -- he would have been one of those kind of conversations that I would have had.
- 21 | BY MR. WILLIAMS:

- Q. Okay. Do you know if Field Ethos did
  an article getting out Sig's point of view?
- A. I don't recall exactly, but I do not believe they did.

- Q. And other than an article do you recall if they did any kind of Facebook or social media post trying to get out Sig's position?
  - A. I don't.
    - O. In that same document --
- 6 A. Sorry.

- Q. Oh, no, no. I remembered a question.

  It talks about, if you go down four written

  paragraphs that starts with, Lastly?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Please see the info below regarding the SERPA holster the female officer from the ABC report used. Then it says -- did I read that accurately? Sorry.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. It says, The one she said nothing could get in the trigger guard as she demonstrated how it could. This is completely -- this is a completely different issue that could come into play. What did you mean by that?
- A. So in the video on ABC News when she was showing the gun in the holster, she held it up and she said, There's no way anything can get in this holster, as she took her finger and slid in the holster. So she said it wouldn't do it, and

then she demonstrated on the -- if you watch the interview, she actually slid her finger in the holster, so she demonstrated that something could go in the holster as she was saying it couldn't. So I was just pointing out that that was -- she was contradicting herself in the interview.

- Q. And was it Sig Sauer's position that this SERPA holster is what caused the unintended firing of the gun?
- A. No, I don't think it was -- that wasn't our -- we never said the SERPA holster caused it.

  Our position was the SERPA holster has an opening that would allow for something to get into the -- inside the holster and have access to the trigger guard just if you look at the design of the SERPA holster.
- So our suggestion was that it could have been a reason for something getting inside the trigger guard and pressing the trigger, as opposed to the gun going off by itself, which was the allegation.
- Q. Because the next one, it said, We learned of many departments and agencies that have banned the use of this holster. That's why I was asking.

- A. Yes. It is a holster, because of that gap in the holster, it has been banned by a number of agencies, they don't like that -- they don't like the style of this particular holster.
- Q. And then the next thing says,

  Interestingly we had a manager in our HR department
  out to dinner last night in a local restaurant and
  she heard a gun discharge in the restaurant. It
  was a Glock 43 in a purse.

# A. Yes, I see it.

- 11 Q. Do you remember who that HR manager 12 was?
- 13 A. I don't.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

21

22

23

- Q. And you say -- the next sentence says,
  Here's another story similar to many of the claims
  against Sig, a Glock 43 discharging in a Walmart
  store.
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. Finally at the bottom it says, This is not a gun problem, it's a people problem. Correct?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Let me know if you need any other information about cops stating, My gun magically went off, close quote. What did you mean by that?
- 25 A. So the first portion I think was just

```
1
     referring to the fact that it's -- you know, this
     is a Glock, it's another example of another
 2
 3
     manufacturer's gun went off in a purse or whatever.
     And then same thing, when the sheriff's gun goes
 4
 5
     off in a Walmart store that was also a Glock.
                 So when you look at a lot of these
 6
 7
     cases I think there seems to be issues where there
 8
     could have been other things at play as opposed to
 9
     a gun going off by itself.
10
          (Taylor Exhibit 11 was marked for
          identification.)
11
12
     BY MR. WILLIAMS:
13
                 I am going to hand you what has been
          Ο.
     marked as Exhibit 11. This is Sig Glasscock
14
15
     000104.
16
                                 After this document can
                 MS. GULLIVER:
17
     we take a short break and then we can do the --
18
                 MR. WILLIAMS:
                                 Sure.
19
                 MS. GULLIVER:
                                 Another big --
20
                 MR. WILLIAMS:
                                 Yeah.
                                        Yeah, I
21
     literally -- I think there are probably eight more,
2.2
     and then we're done.
23
                 Okay.
          Α.
24
     BY MR. WILLIAMS:
25
                 This is an e-mail from Douglas Amato to
          Q.
```

1 you, correct? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Q. Who is Douglas Amato? 4 He's a quality engineer in the company. Α. 5 And he's a Sig Sauer employee? Q. 6 Α. Yes. 7 I should have just asked it that way. 0. 8 Sometimes we are too technical in our own brains. 9 And who is Ed Murphy? 10 Α. Ed Murphy is our vice president, 11 quality control. 12 He says that, Talked with Ed Murphy Q. 13 this morning, he mentioned you are involved in 14 getting the word out about the P320 can't fire 15 without the trigger being pulled. 16 Did I read that accurately? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Next sentence. I have noticed some 0. 19 common threads with the P320 firing on their own 20 incidents. 21 Did I read that accurately? 22 Α. Yeah, just a note though that firing on its own is in quotes, so --23 24 Oh, yeah. Q. 25 Α. Just...

Q.	The	next	sent	tence	is th	ne one	∈ I'm			
interested	in.	The	ones	I read	d abo	out th	nat had	i		
details or	a god	od pl	noto,	these	all	were	using	the		
Blackhawk SERPA holster.										

#### A. Yes.

- Q. What was -- do you know what issue there could have been with the Blackhawk SERPA holster that was causing the P320 to discharge?
- A. That's what I was saying previously with the Hilton, that these SERPA holsters, and in particular it's typically referring to law enforcement holsters that have a light.
  - Q. I'm sorry, that have a what?
  - A. A flashlight on the gun.
  - Q. Oh, flashlight. Thank you.
- A. So it's wide, so to put the gun in the holster, it has to have a wider opening at the top of the holster which creates a gap. And the Blackhawk SERPA holsters were known for having an extraordinarily wide gap.

So when it's in its holster while the trigger guard is covered, it's certainly open at the top so that fingers or other objects are known to be able to slide down into that opening and have access to the trigger.

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

Page 71 1 You understand that you're still under Q. 2 oath, correct? 3 Α. I do. 4 (Taylor Exhibit 12 was marked for identification.) 5 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 6 I am going to hand you what has been 0. 7 marked as Exhibit 12, and that is document Sig 8 000224 through -- 24 through 26. 9 Okay. Α. 10 0. If you go to the second page, which is Siq Glasscock 00025, it's an e-mail from Harris 11 12 Elhan, E-L-H-A-N, from Blackstone West to Samantha 13 Piatt, correct? 14 Α. Yes. 15 0. And it's from January 11th of 2023, 16 correct? 17 Α. Yes. 18 And it's about the Sig 320, is the 0. 19 subject? 20 Yes. Α. 21 Ο. In that e-mail that was forwarded to 2.2 Ms. Piatt is another e-mail that is just on the --23 from Evan's Gun World company page to Harris Elhan, 24 correct? 25 Α. Yes.

- Q. And is Blackstone Market -- Marketing,
  is that one of the companies that Sig uses for
  marketing?
  - A. No, it's actually a sales rep organization. Harris Elhan was a sales rep for Sig, so a sales rep organization is what Blackstone Marketing is.
  - Q. Okay. Tell me what you mean when you say sales rep organization.
    - A. They call on our customers.
- Q. So do you have an individual contract
  with Harris Elhan, or is there a contract with
  Blackstone Marketing?
  - A. Blackstone.
  - Q. Okay. And he's just one of the employees?
- 17 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

- Q. Okay. And in this e-mail Evan's Gun
  World, the last sentence of the second paragraph
  says, After consulting with our attorney, we have
  decided to shelve all Sig 320 and not sell or
  transfer until we get clarification from Sig. Do
  you see that?
- 24 A. I do.
- Q. And then if we go to the first page,

```
1
     Samantha Piatt is sending to you and Jack Barnes
 2
     some draft language, correct?
 3
          Α.
                  Yes.
 4
                  And that was on Thursday, January 12th
          Ο.
 5
     of 2023 at 12:38 a.m. correct?
 6
          Α.
                  Yes.
 7
                  In her second sentence it says, Tom,
          0.
 8
     this is all language from the plug-and-play
 9
                Do you see that?
     document.
10
          Α.
                  I do.
                  I also included the video that we just
11
          Ο.
12
     posted.
              Correct?
13
                  Yes.
          Α.
14
                  What is the plug-and-play document?
          Q.
15
          Α.
                  I believe what she's referring to there
16
     would be a document that we had already approved
17
     internally for use, making a statement about the
18
     P320.
19
                  Did you have plug and play documents
          0.
2.0
     for other guns?
21
          Α.
                  It would totally depend on the
22
     circumstances.
23
                  Can you think of any other firearm
          0.
24
     other than the P320 that you had a plug-and-play
25
     document for?
```

- MS. GULLIVER: Objection to form.
- Objection beyond the scope. You can answer in your personal capacity.
- A. Yeah, if there was -- were any issues
  with a gun, we would have collaborate --
- collaboratively written a document and all approved

  it to use it, you know, to say, Okay, it's -- if we

  get an inquiry from a media outlet or a customer
- 9 such as this, it would be a document we can send it out.
- So, for example, if the Cross rifle had
  a recall at one time, we had a document we could
  send out to explain what that recall was about,
  that sort of thing. So there were other guns that
  had documents that would have been approved in that
- 17 | BY MR. WILLIAMS:

capacity.

- Q. So your example of a plug-and-play document would be one for the Cross rifle?
- A. I'm not -- I'm just saying it's just a generalization. Plug and play, she could have said form letter, she could have said a lot of things.

  I think she's just -- there was no formal term plug and play, I think she was just referring to an approved document.

1 Right. And I quess my question was can Q. 2 you think of any other gun other than the P320 that 3 you've had a form letter or a plug-and-play 4 document for? 5 MS. GULLIVER: Objection, beyond the 6 You can answer in your personal capacity. 7 As I made the example of, we would have Α. 8 had a statement for an issue we had with our Cross 9 rifle, that we would have had a document which we'd 10 have sent out in the same fashion. So the answer 11 to your question is yes. 12 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 13 So, yes, the Cross one had a 0. Okay. plug and play or standardized letter? 14 15 Α. Yes. 16 (Taylor Exhibit 13 was marked for 17 identification.) 18 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 19 I'm handing you what has been marked as 0. 20 deposition Exhibit 13. 21 Α. Okay. 2.2 0. This is a document dated March 24th of 23 2023, correct? 24 Α. Yes.

And it is addressed to Champe Barton

25

Q.

```
Page 76
 1
     and Tom Jackson, correct?
 2
          Α.
                  Jackman, yes.
 3
          Q.
                  I'm sorry, Jackman. And there it says,
 4
     The Washington Post/Trace -- The Trace?
 5
                  Yes.
          Α.
                  Is The Trace a part of the Washington
 6
          Ο.
 7
     Post?
 8
          Α.
                  Yes, it's a subsidiary company of the
 9
     Washington Post.
                  Okay. Well, I just wondered because
10
          Q.
11
     they were at the same address.
12
          Α.
                  Yes.
13
                  And this says this is the Sig response
          Ο.
14
     to an inquiry re the P320, correct?
15
          Α.
                  Yes.
16
                  And earlier we talked about a story
          Q.
17
     that ABC was investigating, correct?
18
                  Yes.
          Α.
19
                  Was this separate and apart from the
          Q.
2.0
     ABC --
21
          Α.
                  Yes.
2.2
          Q.
                  -- story?
23
          Α.
                  Yes.
24
                                  And then the page
                  MR. WILLIAMS:
```

numbers for that document were 255 and 256.

```
1
          (Taylor Exhibit 14 was marked for
 2
          identification.)
 3
     BY MR. WILLIAMS:
 4
                 I'm handing you what has been marked as
 5
     Exhibit 14.
                  Exhibit 14 are documents numbered 257
     through 259.
 6
 7
          Α.
                 Okay.
 8
                         On Exhibit 14 the very last
          Ο.
                 Okay.
 9
     page, which is Bates labeled 259, Ms. Piatt is
10
     forwarding the Sig Sauer reply that we -- that was
11
     marked as Exhibit 13. Do you see that?
12
                 MS. GULLIVER: Objection, form.
13
                 So the only thing I don't know is that
          Α.
14
     letter that was sent to them also had -- I think
15
     there were other documents that were sent that I
     didn't see in your attachment, but that's the only
16
17
     thing I would just clarify.
18
     BY MR. WILLIAMS:
19
          Ο.
                 I'm sorry?
20
                 That's not -- that was not the only
          Α.
21
     thing sent to the Washington Post and The Trace, we
22
     sent them a lot of documentation about 320 cases,
23
     so they had a pretty significant set of documents
     supporting our information about the P320.
24
```

just saying in this context I don't know if it's

- Thomas Taylor 1 referring to that letter or another communication 2 that we had sent to them with information. 3 that's my only -- I just want to be clear that I can't assume which one it's referring to. 4 5 If you look at Exhibit 13, the 0. Okav. 6 Re line of that is Sig Sauer response to inquiry re 7 P320, correct? 8 Α. Yes. 9 And if you look at that document, 0. 10 there's nothing that shows that there was an 11 attachment to it, correct? 12 This? Α. 13 On Exhibit 13, sorry. Ο. No. 14 This would probably -- this would have Α. 15 been an attachment to an e-mail, I assume. 16 sure I understand your question. 17 There's nothing on 13 that Ο. Right. 18 shows that there were any other documents attached? 19 Yes, true. Α. True. True statement. 20 And then when you look at the e-mail of Ο. 21 March 30th -- sorry, get back to that page -- of 2.2 March 24th of 2023, do you see that? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Mrs. Piatt's e-mail to -- it's an Q.
- 25 e-mail from Ms. Piatt to Mr. Jackman and

Mr. Barton, correct?

# 2 A. Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q. And it says, Mr. Jackman plus Barton,
See attached reply to your inquiry. Please kindly
confirm receipt of our reply. Correct?

# A. Yes.

Q. And then you get, on March 27th of 2023, which is on page 258, a reply from Tom Jackman to her e-mail, correct?

# A. Yes.

Q. And then on the 28th Ms. Piatt responds to Mr. Jackman and Mr. Barton asking for, hey, what's the deadline, correct?

### A. Yes.

Q. And then on the first page, which is what I'm interested in, there's an e-mail from Barton Champe, C-H-A-M-P-E, on Thursday, March 30th of 2023 to Tom Jackman and Samantha Piatt, correct?

# A. Yes.

Q. And in the body of it it says, Hi,
Ms. Piatt, following up with two additional notes.
And the last sentence has a quote, or the
next-to-the-last sentence, starts with, He said,
regarding -- or actually, I'll just read the whole
thing.

**Thomas Taylor** 

1	The next it says, In the past week we		
2	spoke with Bill Lewinski, an expert in accidental		
3	shootings and executive director of the Force		
4	Science Institute. He said regarding the reports		
5	of injuries with P320, The number and frequency of		
6	injuries are strongly suggestive of a design flaw		
7	versus a human performance error. What we're		
8	seeing is highly unusual.		
9	And it's, quote I guess it's in		
LO	quotation marks from before the word the, and after		
L1	the word unusual. Do you see that?		
L2	A. Yes.		
L3	Q. Did you ever have any communications		
L4	with Mr. Lewinski?		
L5	A. No.		
L6	Q. Do you know who Mr. Lewinski is?		
L7	A. No. Other than what it says in the		
L8	document here, executive director of the Force		
L9	Science Institute.		
20	Q. Hum?		
21	A. I don't know who he is other than what		
22	it says who he is here, that's all I'm saying.		
23	Q. Oh. I was I'm like, what did I		
24	miss? Okay, you mean and just to be clear, you		

mean that in the document or in this e-mail

Mr. Lewinski's title is listed as expert in 1 2 accidental shootings and executive director of 3 Force Science Institute, correct? 4 MS. GULLIVER: Objection to form. 5 Α. Yes. 6 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 7 You haven't had any -- or maybe you Ο. 8 Had any communications with the Force 9 Science Institute? 10 Α. No. 11 So you weren't saying that you've --Ο. 12 No, I was just saying --Α. 13 -- dealt with him? Ο. 14 You said I didn't know what he did or Α. 15 something, and I said, I can only know what he did 16 by what it says in this e-mail. 17 I heard something else. Ο. Got you. 18 Α. Sorry. 19 Because I was thinking of my next Ο. 2.0 question or moving on, and you said something about the Force Science Institute, I'm like, wait, you've 21 2.2 been there? Okay, let's talk about it. 23 apologize, you probably said that and I just didn't 24 hear.

Do you know what the Force Science

Page 82 1 Institute is? 2 Α. I don't. (Taylor Exhibit 15 was marked for 3 4 identification.) 5 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 6 Keep that out, because here is 0. 7 Exhibit 15. 8 MR. WILLIAMS: And for the record, this 9 is Sig 250 through 254. 10 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 11 And so if you look at Exhibit 14, when 12 Ms. Piatt responds on 3/30 at 4:46 she says, 13 Please -- please see attached for the response to 14 your follow-up. Please confirm receipt. Do you 15 see that? 16 I do. Α. 17 And the reason I'm doing it in this 18 record is because that's the way they were produced. As you'll see, the numbers are 19 20 sequentially -- they were produced in sequential 21 order, but this 250 is dated March -- or 2.2 Exhibit 15 --23 Α. Yes. 24 -- is dated March 30 of 2023, correct? Q. 25 Α. Yes.

```
1
                 And it says it's the Sig Sauer Further
          Q.
 2
     Response to Inquiry?
 3
          Α.
                  Yes.
 4
                  And if you just flip through that, does
          0.
 5
     this contain the additional information you were
 6
     talking about earlier, or that you mentioned
 7
     earlier you thought was in your response?
 8
                  Yes, I believe this is the additional
 9
     information I was referring to.
10
          Ο.
                  Okay.
                         Now, this document addresses
11
     certain questions that were raised in the e-mail by
12
     either Mr. -- either Mr. Barton or Mr. Jackman,
13
     correct?
14
          Α.
                  Yes.
15
          0.
                 Do you know if this document or
16
     information was shared with anyone other than in
17
     response to the request of Mr. Barton and
18
     Mr. Jackman?
19
                 No.
          Α.
20
                 And were you involved in drafting of
          0.
21
     this document?
22
          Α.
                  Yes.
23
                  (Discussion off the record.)
24
          (Taylor Exhibit 16 was marked for
25
          identification.)
```

1 humor, so it's -- introduced that term. So I don't 2 think the antigun and idiot are necessarily meant 3 to go together. That's more of my interpretation of Stephen Colbert. 4 5 Because someone takes an antigun stance 0. 6 doesn't make them an idiot, correct? 7 Absolutely not. Α. There can be intelligent people that 8 Ο. 9 aren't -- that are antiqun, and are unintelligent 10 people that are antiqun, correct? 11 100 percent. Α. 12 Just like there can be pro-qun people Q. 13 that are intelligent and there can be pro-qun 14 people that are idiots? 15 Α. Very much so. 16 (Taylor Exhibit 17 was marked for 17 identification.) 18 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 19 You have been handed what is Ο. 2.0 Exhibit 17, which is documents 218 and 219 from the 21 Sig Glasscock production. 22 Α. Okay. 23 This is an e-mail from Samantha Piatt Ο. 24 on April 13th of 2023 to Steve Rose, correct? 25 Α. Yes.

1	Q.	And I believe you were also a	
2	recipient.	Who is Steve Rose?	
3	Α.	He is executive vice president of our	
4	defense sales team.		
5	Q.	And in the e-mail it says that, Below	
6	are the items that you requested to handle the		
7	inquiries coming into your team from the field		
8	relative to	the Washington Post/Trace article.	
9		Please do not release this to your team	
10	until Tom has given the all clear, just a final set		
11	of eyes to check my work. If there's something I		
12	missed in your request, let me know.		
13		Did I read that accurately?	
14	Α.	Yes.	
15	Q.	Was Sig getting a lot of requests for	
16	information	related to the Washington Post and The	
17	Trace artic	le?	
18	Α.	I wouldn't I don't know if I'd	
19	classify it	as a lot, but we did get inquiries.	
20	Q.	And were those specifically coming from	
21	the government side?		
22		MS. GULLIVER: Objection, beyond the	
23	scope. You	can answer in your personal capacity.	
24	Α.	No, they were coming from other	
25	all a nu	mber of sources.	

1 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 2 Well, you said Mr. Rose is on the 3 government side, correct? 4 Α. Yeah, yes. 5 And was he asking for this letter as 0. 6 part of -- we talked about how sometimes you put 7 out your position to combat what you believe is 8 misinformation, correct? 9 Yes. Α. 10 Ο. And that information comes from the 11 marketing department, correct? 12 Α. Yes. 13 And --Ο. 14 Among others. Α. 15 Ο. What others? 16 Α. Marketing department, legal department, 17 approved by our CEO and so forth. So there's 18 others involved in -- besides just marketing. 19 And Mr. Rose reached out to Ο. Okav. 20 Ms. Piatt in her official capacity, correct? 21 Yes. Α. 2.2 0. And what is her official capacity? Director of communications. 23 Α.

And you approved this communication,

24

25

Q.

correct?

```
1
                 At this time I don't know if it was
          Α.
 2
     finally approved because it says it was waiting for
 3
     my approval. So I can't recall exactly if it
     was -- if it was approved like this or if there
 4
 5
     were any changes to it. So, as she said, it was
 6
     awaiting my approval, so...
 7
          (Taylor Exhibit 18 was marked for
          identification.)
 8
 9
     BY MR. WILLIAMS:
10
          0.
                 You have been handed what is marked as
11
     Document -- Exhibit 18, correct?
12
          Α.
                  Yes.
13
                 And it is Sig Glasscock Exhibit 4 --
          Ο.
     I'm sorry, Sig Glasscock 0000004.
14
                                          Correct?
15
          Α.
                  I can't see that, but --
16
                                 Yeah, it's not --
                 MS. GULLIVER:
17
                 MR. WILLIAMS:
                                 Oh.
18
     BY MR. WILLIAMS:
19
                         I will represent that the
          Ο.
                  Okay.
2.0
     document is 000 -- a lot of 0s and a 4.
21
          Α.
                  Yes.
2.2
          0.
                 And this is -- at least it appears to
23
     be an e-mail from Samantha Piatt to Steve Young, is
24
     that correct?
25
          Α.
                  Yes.
```

- Q. Who is Steve Young?
- A. He's a sales rep.
  - Q. And it also CCs Jack Barnes and yourself, correct?
    - A. Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

24

- Q. And in it she says, Steve, per our conversation, the following text is approved for you to send along with the attachments. Correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And this appears to be the statement that we talked about in the last exhibit?
  - A. Without comparing it side by side, I can't say for certain.
  - O. And I will --
- A. It is -- it is a bit different.
- 16 I will agree with you that if Q. Yeah. 17 you look at the documents where it says on 18 Exhibit -- there are certain -- while the main 19 paragraphs are different, the headings are 2.0 different and then there is something added above 21 the -- or below the, We invite, there's another 2.2 sentence added to that paragraph and then another 23 sentence, but in essence they're pretty similar?

MS. GULLIVER:

25 | BY MR. WILLIAMS:

Objection to form.

1 Can we go with similar? Q. 2 MS. GULLIVER: Objection to form. 3 Α. They're similar, but that's why I questioned it before because they are -- you know, 4 5 when I said I don't know whether this one was 6 approved, because they are -- there are differences 7 in them, but yeah. So they're similar documents. BY MR. WILLIAMS: 8 9 Yeah. And that's what's called a Ο. 10 lawyer being lazy because I looked at it and said, 11 Oh, yeah, he approved it. 12 So similar statements, but not exactly? 13 Α. Yes. 14 What was that last MR. WILLIAMS: 15 number? 18. Now it should be 16 MS. GULLIVER: 17 19. 18 MR. WILLIAMS: We should be done in 19 about three exhibits or so. 20 (Taylor Exhibit 19 was marked for identification.) 21 2.2 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 23 I'm handing you what has been marked as 0. Exhibit 19. And on my version is documents Sig 24 25 Glasscock 12, 13, 14, and then an attachment that

- 1 doesn't have the Bates numbers on it. But I
  - 2 believe that attachment is one we've already
- 3 | discussed.
- 4 A. Okay.
- Q. Now, does this appear to be an e-mail that's being sent to forward a customer concern from Bass Pro?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And then on the first page Jack Barnes
  10 on April 17 forwards it to you and some others and
  11 says, I would think that Bass should direct
  12 customers to Sig customer service so they can use
  13 the talking points and possible -- and possibly
  14 talk them out of the return. Correct?
- MS. GULLIVER: Objection to form.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | BY MR. WILLIAMS:
- Q. And then Chris Meyer responds on 4/17 at 11:27 a.m., correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And he says, This being said, our
  current verbiage doesn't directly attack the WaPo
  points. Can I have the green light to use the full
  statement that we sent WaPo in official capacity
  attached? Correct?

1	A. Yes.	age 93	
2	Q. And then the last two pages are the		
3	response to the Washington Post article, correct?		
4	A. Yes.		
5	Q. Did you did Sig give permission and		
6	send this response out?		
7	A. I don't recall.		
8	Q. Who would have been the person at Sig		
9	that would have had to authorize that?		
10	A. I think I would have been able to		
11	authorize it, I just don't remember if I did.		
12	(Taylor Exhibit 20 was marked for		
13	identification.)		
14	MS. GULLIVER: Does this one have a		
15	Bates range?		
16	MR. WILLIAMS: I don't see one.		
17	MS. GULLIVER: Okay. It looks like		
18	it's cut off, so maybe we could add this to your		
19	list.		
20	MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah. Yeah. This is a		
21	Todd. Somehow if he copied it, it didn't get a		
22	Bates number.		
23	A. Okay.		
24	BY MR. WILLIAMS:		
25	Q. Have you had a chance to look at		

		Thomas Taylor	September 12, 20
1	Exhibit 20?		Page 9
2	A.	Yes.	
3	Q.	It's an e-mail, appears to be, f	From QA
4	Outdoors.	What is QA Outdoors?	
5	A.	It's part of a daily news outlet	in the
6	outdoor industry. This is a weekly interview they		
7	do and send	an e-mail out with the interview	<b>√.</b>
8	Q.	And so did you sit for this inte	erview
9	or did they	just send you questions and you	send
10	them back in?		
11	A.	I believe it was a verbal interv	<i>r</i> iew
12	of phone. Phone interview.		
13	Q.	And who is Jim Shepherd?	
14	A.	He's the publisher and editor of	the
15	Outdoor Wir	e is the public the main publi	cation,
16	and QA Outdoors is part of that.		
17	Q.	And does Sig I'm sorry, what	was his
18	name, this publication? Or what		
19	Α.	The Outdoor Wire.	
20	Q.	Does Sig advertise or do any bus	siness
21	with the Ou	tdoor Wire or their parent compar	ıy?
22	Α.	Yes.	
23	Q.	And what type of business does S	Sig do
24	   with the Ou	tdoor Wire?	

Just run an ad.

25

A.

```
Page 95
 1
                  Anything else?
          Q.
 2
          Α.
                  No.
 3
           (Taylor Exhibit 21 was marked for
 4
          identification.)
 5
     BY MR. WILLIAMS:
 6
                  I'm going to hand you what has been
          0.
 7
     marked as Exhibit 21.
 8
                  MS. GULLIVER:
                                  And just so we have it
 9
     for Kristen, it looks like the Bates number is P320
     design 40, is that right?
10
11
                  MR. WILLIAMS:
                                  Yes.
12
                                  P320 design, what, 40?
                  MS. DENNISON:
13
                  MS. GULLIVER:
                                  40.
14
                                  Okay, thank you.
                  MS. DENNISON:
15
                  MS. GULLIVER:
                                  Uh-hum.
                                  I know which one that
16
                  MS. DENNISON:
17
     is.
18
          Α.
                  Okay.
19
     BY MR. WILLIAMS:
20
                  Who is Sean Toner?
          0.
21
          Α.
                  He's an engineer for Sig.
2.2
          0.
                  And this is an e-mail that was sent to
23
     Amanda Hasevlat --
24
                  Hasevlat.
          Α.
25
          Q.
                  Hasevlat, H-A-S-E-V-L-A-T, and Craig
```

- 1 | Soboleski, S-O-B-O-L-E-S-K-I and Matthew Taylor,
- 2 | with a CC to Chris Slusarz, S-L-U-S-A-R-Z, and
- 3 | David Johnson. Correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And the re is P250 & 320 technical 6 specification confirmation. Do you see that?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. In the body it says, Measured the
  weights today, so I am confident they are correct.
  Slight radius was also measured on gun. Correct?
- MS. GULLIVER: Objection to form.
- 12 A. Sight. Sight radius.
- 13 BY MR. WILLIAMS:
- Q. Sight radius was also measured on the qun.
- 16 A. Yeah.
- 17 O. Correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. And the next sentence says, As far as trigger pull, we have never made one with a 5.5 trigger pull weight, but that is a marketing call.
- 22 | Correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you know why marketing was involved in the weight of a trigger pull?

Page 97 1 Objection. Beyond the MS. GULLIVER: 2 scope, you can answer in your personal capacity. 3 Α. No, this was before I was even employed, so I wouldn't know why that -- why that 4 5 is in there. 6 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 7 In your tenure at Sig Sauer, have you Ο. 8 ever been involved in deciding the weight of a 9 trigger pull? 10 Α. No. 11 Are you aware of anyone else in Ο. 12 marketing who's been involved in deciding the 13 weight of a trigger pull? 14 Α. No. 15 (Taylor Exhibit 22 was marked for 16 identification.) 17 BY MR. WILLIAMS: I'm going to hand you what has been 18 0. 19 marked as Exhibit 22. This is Bates numbered 200 20 through 217. So Sig Glasscock 200 -- I'm sorry. 21 Sig Glasscock 201 through 207. 22 Α. Okay. 23 If you flip to page 210 at the bottom. Q. 24 Α. Okay.

Actually, I think you have to go to 209

25

Q.

```
1
     to get the start of it.
 2
          Α.
                  Okay.
 3
          Q.
                 Do you see that bottom e-mail starts
 4
     with, it's an e-mail from Jose Pagliery,
 5
     P-A-G-L-I-E-R-Y?
 6
          Α.
                  Yes.
 7
                 And it is to Joel Harris at Sig Sauer?
          0.
 8
          Α.
                  Yes.
 9
                  Who is Joel Harris?
          O.
10
          Α.
                 At that time he was the director of
     communications.
11
12
                 And what did the director of
          Q.
13
     communications do?
14
                 Media relations, writing press
15
     releases, that sort of thing.
16
                  All right. And that's dated Tuesday,
          Q.
17
     April 17th, 2018 at 2:39 p.m., correct?
18
                  Yes.
          Α.
19
                  And it starts off, Joel, Jose at CNN
          Ο.
2.0
            I have been working on a piece that takes a
21
     look at the Sig P320 and the voluntary upgrade
2.2
     program.
               We have reached the point in our
23
     reporting where it is crucial to hear from
24
     Sig Sauer itself.
25
                  Did I read that accurately?
```

A.	Yes.
----	------

2.2

Q. Our story, which will run in the coming days, will explain to the American public the drop fire issues and -- that led to the voluntary upgrade program and incidents related to accidental discharges.

Did I read that accurately?

# A. Yes.

Q. The next paragraph says, Certain aspects of this story are pivotal. How many non-upgraded P320s are out there in customers' hands? Are they sitting on store shelves? Are only upgraded P320s on sale right now? Only Sig Sauer is in a position to answer these questions and address the public's concerns.

Did I read that accurately?

## A. Yes.

Q. Next paragraph. Parts of this matter are complex. We prefer to speak to Sig Sauer instead of relying on e-mail to ensure a free-flowing conversation. But we are including our questions so you may prepare for them.

We would like to sit down with CEO Ron Cohen for an on-camera interview. As that would best convey how serious -- how seriously the

- Thomas Taylor September 12, 2024 Page 100 1 company is taking this matter. 2 And if you have any questions of us, 3 please let us know. Correct? 4 Α. Yes. 5 And then the following pages are 0. 6 questions that he has posed, correct? 7 Α. Yes. 8 And if you go to page 208. Ο. 9 Α. Okay. 10 Q. There's an e-mail from Jose Pagliery to Joel Harris, correct? 11 12 Α. Yes. 13 And that's on Wednesday the 18th, 2018 Ο. 14 at 8:21 a.m. correct? 15 Α. Yes. 16 And it says, Joel, it's extremely Q. 17 important to us that we hear from Sig Sauer on 18 Can you please let us know if you're open to this.
- 19 answering our questions? Your input is pivotal for 20 this story to address the concerns of your 21 customers and the American public at large. 22 Did I read that accurately? 23 Α. Yes.

Page 207.

Okay.

24

25

Q.

Α.

Thomas Taylor September 12, 2024 Page 101 1 Okay, at the bottom on April 18th, 2018 Q. 2 at 10:01 Joel Harris wrote, Jose, we are reviewing 3 your questions. What is your timeline? 4 regards, Joel Harris, Director Media Relations & 5 Communication. 6 Did I read that accurately? 7 Α. Yes. 8 The next e-mail is a response from Jose Ο. 9 Pagliery to Joel Harris, correct? 10 Α. Yes. 11 And that is on April 18th at 10:35 a.m. Ο. 12 Α. Yes. 13 And it says, Thank you, this will be Ο. 14 e-mail/phone only. Our deadline is end of day 15 Thursday. If Mr. Cohen is willing to sit down for 16 an on-camera interview, we can push this to Friday. 17

Did I read that accurately?

#### Α. Yes.

Then if we go up -- actually, we have 0. to go to 206 to get the time. So page 206 Joel Harris responds to Jose on Wednesday April 18th at 1:00 p.m., correct?

### Α. Yes.

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24 And he says, Jose, Mr. Cohen is away on Q. 25 personal vacation, I'm trying to reach him.

- 1 get back to you. Thank you.
- 2 Did I read that accurately?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. On 205 Jose reaches back out to Joel
- 5 Harris, correct, at 1:08 p.m.?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And says, If he is open to the sit-down interview, I may have success in pushing this back
- 9 | further than Friday. Correct?
- 10 A. Yes. Yes, yes.
- 11 Q. And then the next day on Thursday,
- 12 | April 19th, 2018 at 10:18 a.m. Joel responds to
- 13 | Jose, correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And he says, Jose, I'm still waiting
- 16 for confirmation from our CEO on his availability.
- 17 | Best regards, Joel. Correct?
- 18 A. Yup.
- 19 Q. On page 204 we see that Joel responds
- 20 on April 19, 2018 at 10:23 a.m. correct?
- 21 A. Uh-hum, yes.
- 22 | Q. And just says, Thank You?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Page 203.
- 25 A. Okay.

1 Joel responds to Jose on Friday the Q. 2 20th, correct? 3 Α. Yes. 4 And it says, Jose, I was finally able Q. 5 to get in contact with Mr. Cohen, but he is 6 unavailable to do an interview. Correct? 7 Α. Yes. 8 0. And then on that same page Jose 9 responds at 10:09 on April 20th and says, Okay, 10 thanks for trying. When can we expect a response from Sig to our questions? 11 Correct? 12 Yes. Α. 13 And that e-mail is at 10:09 on 0. 14 April 20th, correct? 15 Α. Yes. 16 And approximately 24 minutes later Joel Q. 17 sends the e-mail to you, Tabitha Wade and Teddy 18 Novin, correct? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Who is Tabitha Wade? 0. 21 She is Ron Cohen's chief of staff. Α. 2.2 0. Who is Teddy Novin, N-O-V-I-N? He was an outside communications 23 Α. consultant. 24 25 And is he someone that Sig Sauer used Q.

1 for communications? 2 We work with him, yes. Α. 3 Q. It simply says, FYI. See below. 4 Correct? 5 Α. Yes. 6 Now, if we go to the first page there's 0. 7 an e-mail from you on the 20th at 4:41 p.m., 8 correct? 9 Α. Yes. 10 0. In that e-mail it starts with, Hi, Debi. Who's Debi? 11 12 Debi Dawson is the communications Α. 13 manager for US Army PEO Soldier. 14 And what is PEO Soldier? Q. 15 Α. It's their acquisition department. 16 That's not a magazine or something? Q. 17 Α. No. 18 It says, Hi, Debi. 0. Okay. Per our communication, here is the entire e-mail traffic 19 2.0 with the CNN reporter including the questions he 21 had for Sig in the original e-mail at the bottom. 2.2 Did I read that correctly? 23 Α. Yes. We traded e-mails with him about 24 Q. 25 whether our CEO, Ron Cohen, would do a live

1 interview, but never intended to do that. Did I read that accurately? 2 3 Α. Yes. 4 We had hoped to navigate the release of 0. 5 this story to today, Friday. 6 Did I read that accurately? 7 Yes. Α. 8 We also never intended to answer his 0. 9 questions. 10 Did I read that accurately? 11 Α. Yes. 12 We went dark to him as of -- it says, Q. 13 his 10:09 a.m. e-mail this morning. 14 Did I read this accurately? 15 Α. Yes. 16 From our point of view this is a smear Q. 17 tactic story and will have many non-connected 18 elements trying to paint a very negative picture 19 about the P320, and possibly the Army's selection 2.0 of the M17. 21 Did I read that accurately? 22 Α. Yes. 23 But I suppose we do not know that for 0. sure. Please see below. 24 25 Did I read that accurately?

2.2

Q. I will keep you updated if I hear anything else. Correct?

# A. Yes.

- Q. Which of his questions that are attached to this e-mail did you think were part of a smear campaign?
- A. I'd have to study them for a while to tell you exactly which ones I thought and go back, recollection, you know, from six years ago. I think wholistically, when you read a list of questions like this, we're able to usually put together sort of what we think the media outlet is looking for.

And our opinion was that this was an old story, it was a year old after a voluntary upgrade at this point. He was mixing a lot of issues and questions, and we just felt like he was sort of on a fishing expedition for we didn't know exactly what, and so we just didn't trust that this was going to be an honest story.

- Q. Did you read the questions before making that determination?
- 24 A. Of course we would have read them, yes.
- Q. And you said that, We traded e-mails

Page 107 1 with him about whether our CEO, Ron Cohen, would do a live interview, but never intended to do that. 2 3 Correct? 4 Α. Yes. 5 Why not just tell him, Our CEO is 0. 6 unavailable, or, we're not going to do the 7 interview? 8 MS. GULLIVER: Objection, form. 9 I'm not sure why -- to be honest with Α. 10 you why we delayed in telling him that. have been in discussions with our CEO at the time 11 12 about whether he was going to do it or not. 13 does not do a lot of live interviews, and so we 14 could have been discussing it internally. 15 To say we never intended to do it, you 16 know, I don't know if it's wholly true in the early 17 moments of these -- this e-mail coming in. 18 you know, at some point we made a determination to 19 not have him do that. 20 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 21 It also says, We never intended to 0. 2.2 answer his questions. So why not just tell him no? 23 MS. GULLIVER: Objection to form.

Yeah, I think we eventually did tell Α. him no, that we weren't -- we weren't going to

24

1	answer the questions.	108
2	BY MR. WILLIAMS:	
3	Q. You believe you told him no after this	
4	e-mail string?	
5	A. I'll have to go, maybe go back and read	
6	it again to see whether we actually said no or	
7	whether we just stopped replying.	
8	Q. Well, this says, We went dark.	
9	A. Yeah. So then we just stopped	
10	replying.	
11	Q. And before you said that there, you	
12	know, you were upset with ABC News because the	
13	story was slanted and didn't give your perspective,	
14	do you recall that?	
15	A. Yes.	
16	Q. Here you were being asked to give your	
17	side of the story and you never intended to answer	
18	his questions and went dark on him, is that	
19	correct?	
20	MS. GULLIVER: Objection to form.	
21	A. That's what it says.	
22	BY MR. WILLIAMS:	
23	Q. And then by this time you also had	
24	prepared draft answers to questions, do you recall	
25	that?	

MS. GULLIVER: Objection, form.

- A. Not necessarily related to this time period. This time period was in an odd place because this was about a year after the upgrade, but it was either before or just at the very beginning of the alleged guns going off by themselves.
- So in reading his questions, our

  popinion was that he was mixing a bunch of facts or
  non-facts, and he was looking for a way to spin a

  story.
  - When you deal with the antigun media, and we're, you know, not extremely trustful of whether they are going to report the facts accurately, which is why we're trepidatious about that sort of thing.
- 17 | BY MR. WILLIAMS:

2

3

4

5

6

7

12

13

14

15

- Q. Had you had dealings with this individual before?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. And you believed CNN was doing -- is 22 CNN considered antiqun media?
- 23 A. Very much.
- Q. And what makes somebody antigun media?

  MS. GULLIVER: Objection, form.

1 We look at it when they, you know, Α. 2 issue stories that don't -- that aren't factual. 3 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 4 Is it that they aren't factual or that 5 you don't agree with them --6 MS. GULLIVER: Objection form. 7 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 8 -- that makes them antiqun. 9 honestly don't know the answer. 10 Α. It could be both. More often than not, it's not factual. 11 12 But you would agree that someone can --Q. 13 the media can disagree with your position on 14 something and it doesn't make them antigun or 15 antiSig, right? 16 It could. Α. 17 Is there any media, ABC, NBC, CBS or Ο. 18 Fox that hasn't done a story that was critical of 19 quns? 20 I would say they all probably have at Α. 21 Even Fox, which is the most conservative of 22 the four major networks. 23 And would you consider Fox to be 0. 24 antiqun? 25 They're probably not. Α.

1 I don't think I'm going MR. WILLIAMS: 2 to ask any of these others. If you want to give me 3 five minutes, I think we're pretty much done. 4 MS. GULLIVER: Great. Let's go off the 5 record. 6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 7 12:13. 8 (Recess taken.) 9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on the record, 12:25, Media No. 5. Please proceed. 10 11 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 12 Okay, during the break I found 36 new Q. 13 documents. I'm just kidding. 14 Α. Oh, good. 15 0. Have you been able to listen to my 16 question -- or, I'm sorry. Have you been able to 17 hear my questions and understand them? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Ο. Have you answered my questions in a 2.0 truthful and honest way? 21 Α. Yes. 2.2 MR. WILLIAMS: I have no further 23 questions. 24 Thank you. THE WITNESS: 25 MS. GULLIVER: Let's just go off the

Page 112 1 record for a minute. 2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 3 12:26. 4 (Recess taken.) 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on 6 the record, 12:35, Media No. 6. Please proceed. 7 EXAMINATION BY MS. GULLIVER: 8 9 Mr. Taylor, do you remember earlier Ο. 10 today you testified regarding your preparation for today's deposition? 11 12 I do. Α. 13 And do you recall being asked whether 0. 14 you reviewed any documents to prepare for the 15 deposition today? 16 I do. Α. 17 And did you also review e-mails as part Ο. 18 of your deposition preparation? 19 I did. Α. 20 Okay. And what type of e-mails? 0. Various types, many of which we've seen 21 Α. 22 today. 23 I now want to refer you back to 0. Exhibits 5 and 6, which were I believe the two 24 25 sales reports you testified to earlier today.

2.0

Q. Do you recall being asked what the differences were between Exhibit 5, which was the first sales report that was produced, and Exhibit 6, which ends in Glasscock 1772, which was the sales report that was recently produced?

### A. I do.

Q. And if we take a look at the explanation page for Exhibit 5, I want to direct your attention to the section that says Model, and it says, Only P320. Do you recall testifying as to what that term meant?

#### A. Yes.

Q. Do you know whether Only P320 meant that this particular report also excluded versions of the P320 that were either an M17 or an M18?

## A. I believe it does exclude that.

Q. Okay. And then I believe you were also asked what -- in the section that's called Order type you were also asked what customer service order type means. Do you recall that?

### A. I do.

Q. And I believe you testified at the time that you thought it related to some sort of customer service inquiry, is that correct?

A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. Would it be accurate to say that that customer service order type could also involve sales through Sig's custom works program?
- A. Yes. I did not take into consideration the term customer service versus custom works, but it could include custom works sales direct to consumers.
- Q. And, okay, I think you've answered my question. But just so the record is clear, what is custom works?
- A. Custom works is our sort of our high-end gun department, and we have your build-your-own-gun portion of our website where consumers can go directly on the Sig Sauer website, they can use a configurator to configurate a gun, and that gun can be shipped directly to the consumer.
- Q. Thank you. And now I wanted to just refer you back to Exhibit 3 that you saw earlier today, which was Glasscock 199. It's a letter, To whom it may concern relating Boston Channel 5 WCVB news report that was on the CANSOFCOM incident, do you recall that?
- 25 A. Yes.

		Thomas Taylor September 12,
1	Q.	Page ' Do you recall if CANSOFCOM conducted an
2	investigat	ion on the incident?
3	А.	Yes, they did.
4	Q.	And do you know what the result of that
5	investigat	ion was?
6	Α.	Yes, the outcome was that it was user
7	error. An	d we knew that at the time, which is why
8	we got the	CANSOFCOM and asked if we could make a
9	statement.	Because they could not make a statement
10	until they	conducted their investigation, although
11	they knew	at that time it was likely user error.
12		We collaborated with them to make this
13	statement,	and a day later followed up with their
14	own statem	ent confirming it was user error.
15	Q.	And when you say user error, what do
16	you mean?	

- That the gentleman in CANSOFCOM, the Α. Canadian Special Forces, had discharged his gun
- 19 with his own -- he pulled the trigger.
  - Ο. Now I just want to refer you back to Exhibit 4, which was a draft of potential response to the ABC News, Good Morning America and Nightline pieces, do you recall that?
- 24 Α. Yes.

17

18

20

21

22

23

25 And do you recall being asked about the Q.

- Page 116 1 first option for the beginning of your draft 2 response, which referred to ABC News as an antiqun 3 media outlet?
  - Α. Yes.

4

5

6

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Did you ultimately watch the final Good 0. Morning America segment?
  - I did. Α.
- 8 0. Did you also watch the Nightline 9 segment?
- 10 I did. Α.
  - And based on the segments that were Ο. aired, did you conclude whether it was biased?
  - We felt it was very biased, because in Α. the Good Morning America piece ABC News -- ABC News' own gun expert opined that he didn't feel like the gun could go off by itself. And he went on to say that the only reason he could suggest that the gun allegedly went off by itself was something that he termed legal momentum, and, you know, obviously he was just saying that lawyers have perpetuated the story that the gun can go off by itself is what he was referring to.
  - When we watched that we were surprised that he said that, but when we watched the Nightline piece a little later in the day or a lot

later in the day, ABC News had edited the expert's comments out of the piece, omitting -- omitting that he said the gun likely couldn't go off by itself and that the reason for alleged discharge was legal momentum.

So they edited that piece out, so that led us to being -- believing that it was biased because they changed their story based on the later understanding that that was not working in their favor.

- Q. And are there any other articles or media that we've discussed today in the deposition where you felt that the media organization didn't incorporate facts that you presented as part of your discussions with that organization?
- A. I think the most egregious situation was the Washington Post/Trace story where we really decided to go all in and provide as much information as we possibly could, you know, pages of information.

And essentially they chose to either ignore it or even edit video footage that we had sent them to try to tell their story the way they wanted to tell it.

And, you know, it was quite frustrating

to be -- to say, okay, fine, we're going to go in and we're going to provide them as much information as possible only to have them either not use it or worse, edit it in a way that told the story the way they wanted to tell .

- Q. And you referring to editing in your answer. What do you mean by that?
- A. For example, there was an alleged case in Pasco County, Florida where a school resource officer had made a claim that he was leaning on the wall in the middle school cafeteria, and as he was leaning on the wall the gun just went off by itself.

And ABC News in Florida at that time, different ABC News story, but ABC News Florida at the time, reported the story as he said it, that the gun had just gone off by itself while he was leaning on a wall without touching the gun.

Unbeknownst to him, there was a video camera in the cafeteria, and that video camera had caught him actually not only touching his gun, but fidgeting with his gun while he was standing within feet of several schoolchildren.

We were a bit frustrated because the department had to conduct and finalize their own

investigation, so we had to wait for them to do that, but they eventually released the footage.

And essentially the officer was clicking and unclicking his gun in and out of his holster while standing, and two school lines full of children getting their food in the cafeteria. As soon as they released their investigation, he was dismissed by the department for cause.

Q. And how did you --

A. And by the way, within that. That's where -- sorry, I didn't finish the thought. ABC

News had edited that piece of footage, rather than showing him multiple times holstering and unholstering his gun, it showed him holstering his gun and the bullet going off and striking the floor.

So even within their own edit, I don't think they understood what they were showing, because the next logical question would be, why did he have his gun out of his holster in the first place?

So they tried to depict it as if he put his gun in his holster one time, but he did it multiple times. But anybody that knows anything about gun safety would suggest, why in the world

would he have his gun out of his holster in a school cafeteria full of children?

2.2

- Q. And just so the record is clear, are you saying that ABC News did this editing, or the Washington Post?
- A. This was when we sent that footage to the Washington Post to help them understand how something can be alleged when somebody says, I didn't touch it, and then video footage proved that he touched it, but yet they took that same footage and they turned it around and tried to suggest that he only touched his gun one time.

Their lack of understanding of guns would be this -- to believe they didn't even understand that they were almost helping our story by saying, you know, you shouldn't be touching your gun in the first place, but the point is that they manipulated the video footage to try to tell their story.

- Q. And just going back to the ABC News,
  Good Morning America and Nightline pieces, did they
  feature a particular individual who had experienced
  an unintended discharge as part of that broadcast?
- A. Yes, they did an extensive interview with Officer Hilton from a police department in

Page 121

# Texas.

- 2 Q. And did Ms. Hilton sue the company?
- 3 A. She did.
- 4 Q. And do you know how that case 5 ultimately was resolved?
- 6 A. The case was dismissed in Sig Sauer's favor.
- Q. Now I just want to refer you back to

  Exhibit 22, which we were talking about a little

  bit ago, the CNN request to Sig Sauer. Do you

  recall that?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And I want to refer you to Sig

  Glasscock 202 in particular, your e-mail to Debi

  Dawson. Do you recall that?
- 16 A. I do.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall being asked why
  you didn't just say no, but instead you said -- you
  basically continued to respond and not just say no
  right away?
- 21 A. I do.
- Q. Do you recall that?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. I want to direct your attention to the part of your e-mail where you said, We had hoped to

	Thomas Taylor	September 12, 2
1	navigate the release of this story to today,	Page 1 in
2	quotes, Friday. Do you see that?	
3	A. I do.	
4	Q. Is there any significance in mark	eting
5	to Friday publications?	
6	A. Yes. We prefer not to for us	at Sig
7	we prefer not to do media releases on Fridays	
8	because you're leading into the weekend when	people
9	are distracted and doing other things.	
10	So for us, if there was a negativ	e

story coming, we would have been trying to navigate that to be able to have it released on Friday so it would have less impact. So that was the purpose of saying, trying to navigate it to Friday.

And then if we go back to the first 0. page of the exhibit, there's an April 20th, 2018, 5:00 p.m. e-mail from Debi back to you and others. Do you see that?

#### I do. Α.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And Debi's response appears to say, He 0. clearly has an agenda, dot, dot, dot, yup, two exclamation points. Do you see that?

#### I do. Α.

What did you understand Debi to be Q. saying when she wrote that?

Page 123

A. After she had reviewed the 28
questions, that she agreed that there was some
hidden agenda within within what's the story
he was trying to write.
MS. GULLIVER: Thank you very much.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
MR. WILLIAMS: Can you read that last
question and answer back to me, please.
(Question and answer read.)
MR. WILLIAMS: I don't have any
questions.
MS. GULLIVER: Thank you.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes the
deposition of Thomas Taylor. Off the record at
12:48.
(The deposition was concluded at 12:48 p.m.)

1	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET	Page 124
2	PageLineChange	
3	PageLineChange	
4	PageLineChange	
5	PageLineChange	
6	PageLineChange	
7	PageLineChange	
8	PageLineChange	·
9	PageLineChange	·
10	PageLineChange	·
11	PageLineChange	·
12	PageLineChange	
13	PageLineChange	
14	PageLineChange	
15	PageLineChange	
16	PageLineChange	<u> </u>
17	PageLineChange	. <u> </u>
18	PageLineChange	
19	PageLineChange	<u> </u>
20	PageLineChange	<u> </u>
21	PageLineChange	. <u> </u>
22	PageLineChange	<u> </u>
23	PageLineChange	<u> </u>
24	PageLineChange	
25	SIGNATURE:DATE:	

Page 125

CERTIFICAT
------------

2	I, Pamela J. Carle, Registered
3	Professional Reporter, do hereby certify that the
4	foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my
5	stenographic notes of the deposition of THOMAS
6	TAYLOR, who was first duly sworn, taken at the
7	place and on the date hereinbefore set forth, and
8	that reading and signing of the transcript was not
9	discussed.
10	I further certify that I am neither
10 11	I further certify that I am neither attorney nor counsel for, nor related to or
	_
11	attorney nor counsel for, nor related to or
11 12	attorney nor counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action in
11 12 13	attorney nor counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further that I
11 12 13 14	attorney nor counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or

18

19

20

THE FOREGOING CERTIFICATION OF THIS TRANSCRIPT DOES NOT APPLY TO ANY REPRODUCTION OF THE SAME BY ANY MEANS UNLESS UNDER THE DIRECT CONTROL AND/OR DIRECTION OF THE CERTIFYING REPORTER.

21

22

23

24

25

Parula 1. Carle

Pamela J. Carle, LCR, RPR, CRR